

**Pharmacologic treatment of migraine in children and adolescents: A systematic review and guideline development protocol**

Proposal of the Guideline Development, Dissemination, and Implementation Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology

Yolanda Holler-Managan, MD (1); Maryam Oskoui, MD (2); David Gloss, MD (3); Andrew Hershey, MD, PhD (4); Nicole Licking DO (5); Kenneth Mack, MD, PhD, FAAN (6); Michael Sowell, MD, FAHS (7); Christine Victorio, MD (8); Thomas Getchius (9); Shannon Merillat (10); Elaine Gersz (11); Emily Leininger (12); Heather Zanitsch (13); Marcy Yonker, MD, FAHS (14)

1. Covenant Medical Group, Lubbock, TX
2. Montreal Children's Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
3. Geisinger Health Institute, Danville, PA
4. Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH
5. Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR
6. Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN
7. University of Louisville, Louisville, KY
8. Akron Children's Hospital, Akron, OH
9. American Academy of Neurology, Minneapolis, MN
10. American Academy of Neurology, Minneapolis, MN
11. Rochester, NY

12. St. Paul, MN

13. O'Fallon, MO

14. Phoenix Children's Hospital, Phoenix, AZ

Correspondence to

American Academy of Neurology:

[guidelines@aan.com](mailto:guidelines@aan.com)

Approved by the Guideline Development, Dissemination, and Implementation Subcommittee on April 22, 2015. All comments submitted during the 30 day public comment period in which this protocol is posted will be reviewed and addressed by the author panel members. Although all comments will be considered, author panel members will not specifically respond to individual comments online.

## **STUDY FUNDING**

This document was developed with financial support from the American Academy of Neurology. Authors were reimbursed for expenses related to travel to subcommittee meetings where drafts of manuscripts were reviewed.

## **DISCLOSURE**

Dr. Yolanda Holler-Managan has received funding for travel from the AAN. She is on the editorial advisory board of *Neurology Now*.

Dr. Maryam Oskoui has received funding for travel from the AAN and Isis Pharmaceuticals. She has received research support from Isis Pharmaceuticals, FRQS (Quebec), CIHR (Canada), and the McGill University Research Institute.

Dr. David Gloss is an evidence-based medicine consultant for the AAN. He has served as associate editor for risk of bias classifications for the journal *Neurology*.

Dr. Andrew Hershey has served on a scientific advisory board for Allergan, XOC Pharma, and Amgen. He has served as an editor for *Headache*, *Cephalalgia*, and the *Journal of Headache and Pain*. He has received research support from Allergan and Teva. He has received grants from the NIH and serves as a board member of the American Headache Society.

Dr. Nicole Licking reports no disclosures.

Dr. Kenneth Mack reports no disclosures.

Dr. Michael Sowell received funding from the Southern Headache Society to speak at their fourth annual meeting in Ashville, NC, from September 27-29, 2014. He has served as manuscript editor for the journal *Headache*, on a speakers' bureau for Allergan, and as an interviewer for *Neurology* podcasts. He received honoraria in May 2014 from the 6<sup>th</sup> Annual

“Advances in Neurology.” He was the principal investigator for the CHAMP (Childhood and Adolescent Migraine Prevention) Study, for which he received research support from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke.

Dr. Christine Victorio is the site primary investigator for an NIH-funded childhood migraine and prevention study, which is contracted through Akron Children’s Hospital.

Ms. Elaine Gersz reports no relevant disclosures.

Ms. Emily Leininger reports no relevant disclosures.

Ms. Heather Zanitsch has received financial compensation from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute and Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program and serves as a volunteer advocate for the National Headache Foundation.

Dr. Marcy Yonker has served on a scientific advisory board for AMGEN. She has served as a reviewer for the journals *Cephalalgia*, *Headache*, and the *Journal of the Child Neurology Society*. She has received research support as a primary investigator from AstraZeneca, Allergan, and NINDS.

## INTRODUCTION

Since the 2004 publication of the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) practice parameter “Pharmacological treatment of migraine headache in children and adolescents,<sup>1</sup>” there have been several major studies published regarding acute and preventive treatments for migraine headache. At the time of the last guideline, there were very few controlled and randomized trials to support recommendations. Currently there are randomized controlled trials that address acute and preventive pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic management of migraine headache. Since the clinical problem of migraine headache is so prevalent in children and adolescents, an update is warranted.

Migraine is common in pediatric patients, with a prevalence of 1%–3% in 3- to 7-year-olds, 4%–11% in 7- to 11-year-olds, and 8%–23% by age 15 years.<sup>2</sup> The definition of migraine is according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition.<sup>3</sup> In comparison to adults, migraine headache in children and adolescents is more often bilateral and of shorter duration.<sup>3</sup> Migraine is typically diagnosed by obtaining a thorough history and performing an examination without neuroimaging. Management of pediatric headache consists of acute and preventive treatments. Treatments are chosen according to headache type and frequency, type of symptoms present, adverse effect profile, and comorbidities present.<sup>4</sup>

It is imperative to determine the most effective treatment of pediatric headache because there is a great cost to headaches, including a personal and financial burden. In adults and children, the estimated annual cost for migraine-associated emergency department (ED) visits was \$700 million in the United States in 2010.<sup>5</sup> In children and adolescents, an estimated 250,000 annual

visits are related to headache, 2.1% of total visits.<sup>6</sup> Among children presenting to the ED for headache, two-thirds have tried abortive medication at home prior to their visit.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, effective treatment of pediatric headache should decrease the financial burden of frequent ED visits, the personal burden of persistent headache in the child, and the family burden of taking the child to the ED.

### **Rationale for this guideline**

Because migraine is common in pediatric patients, it has a large impact on the quality of life of the child or adolescent. Recurrent headaches can impact a child's life by affecting school, leisure, and family activities. In one study, children with migraine missed an average of 23.9 days of school activities, household tasks, and leisure activities over 3 months.<sup>7</sup> In another, children with migraines reported a similar pattern of disability as children with rheumatoid disease or cancer. These findings suggest that children with migraines can experience comparable or more severe impairments as children with other serious chronic illnesses.<sup>8</sup>

The purpose of this guideline is to systemically assess all randomized clinical trials and nonrandomized studies that evaluate acute and preventive pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic treatment of migraine headache. The goal is to provide patients and their providers with a reference regarding appropriate treatment that will reduce headache frequency and duration and improve the patient's quality of life. The guideline seeks to answer the following clinical questions:

## Clinical Questions

1. In children and adolescents with migraine headaches, do acute treatments, compared with no treatment, reduce headache duration and associated symptoms (especially nausea and vomiting) and maintain headache freedom?
2. In children and adolescents with migraine headaches, do preventive treatments, compared with no treatment, reduce headache frequency?
3. In children and adolescents with migraine headaches, do complementary and alternative medicine therapies, compared with no treatment, reduce headache frequency?

<b>Question (type)</b>	<b>Patient population</b>	<b>Intervention</b>	<b>Comparative intervention</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
1 (therapeutic)	Children and adolescents with migraine headaches	Acute treatments	No treatment	Headache duration, associated nausea and vomiting, number who remain headache free
2 (therapeutic)	Children and adolescents with migraine headaches	Preventive treatments	No treatment	Headache frequency
3(therapeutic)	Children and adolescents with migraine headaches	Nonpharmacologic treatments	No treatment	Headache frequency

## Rationale for clinical questions

Treatment modalities must be carefully selected based on a patient's headache pattern and changing frequency.<sup>1</sup> The first step is to prevent migraines with lifestyle modifications such as sleep hygiene and diet. However, when migraines occur, there must be a plan to treat acute

symptoms (Question 1). For frequent headaches that interfere with functioning, preventive treatments can be initiated (Question 2). And when the medicine cabinet is not effective, we should evaluate alternatives that exist beyond traditional pharmaceuticals (Question 3).

## **SYSTEMATIC REVIEW PROTOCOL**

### **Composition of the author panel**

This guideline will be developed according to the process described in the 2011 AAN guideline development process manual (as amended),<sup>9</sup> and is in compliance with the Institute of Medicine Standards for Systematic Reviews<sup>10</sup>. In January 2015, a multidisciplinary panel consisting of 12 AAN physician members and 3 patient representative members were recruited to develop this systematic review. The physicians included content experts (A.H., K.M..S., C.V., M.Y.), a methodology expert (D.G.), and Guideline Development, Dissemination, and Implementation (GDDI) Committee members (Y.H.-M., M.O., N.L.). The patient representatives (E.G., E.L., H.Z) included 2 adolescents and 1 adult who had experienced migraine headache in childhood. The physicians and patient representatives were required to submit an online conflict of interest (COI) form and a copy of their curriculum vitae (CV). The panel leadership, consisting of the lead author (Y.H.-M.), the AAN methodologist (D.G.), and the AAN staff person (T.G., S.M.), reviewed the COI forms and CVs for financial and intellectual COI. These documents were specifically screened to exclude both those individuals with a clear financial conflict and those whose profession and intellectual bias would diminish the credibility of the review in the eyes of the intended users. Per AAN Policy, the lead author (Y.H.-M.) has no COIs. Four of the 10 authors were determined to have COIs which were judged to be not significant enough to

preclude them from authorship (A.H., M.S., C.V., M.Y.). All authors determined to have COI's will not be permitted to review or rate the evidence. These individuals will be used in an advisory capacity to help with the validation of the key questions, the scope of the literature search, and help with the identification of seminal articles to validate the literature search. Panel members with COIs will be allowed to participate in the recommendation development process. The panel was recommended to the AAN GDDI leadership, who reviewed the author panel constitution and provided final approval. This panel was solely responsible for the final decisions about the design, analysis, and reporting of the review, which was then submitted for approval to the AAN GDDI.

### **Study screening and selection criteria**

We included randomized clinical trials, nonrandomized studies, case reports, meta-analyses, and the grey literature.

### **Types of participants**

Children and adolescents from birth to age 18 years were included. Special populations included sexually active adolescents who are of childbearing age.

### **Types of intervention**

The review will include all interventions for the treatment of migraine headache. Interventions may include pharmacologic therapy or nonpharmacologic therapy. Treatment will include acute treatment of a migraine attack as well as preventive treatment of migraine.

## **Comparison group**

The comparator group is children and adolescents who did not receive any treatment for their migraine headaches.

## **Types of outcome measures**

The outcomes include (1) reduction of headache frequency by use of preventive medications and nonpharmacologic measures such as complementary and alternative medicine, lifestyle changes, and behavioral modification; (2) reduction of headache duration and associated symptoms and attainment of headache freedom by use of acute treatment; and (3) reduction of headache frequency by use of nonpharmacologic treatments.

The outcomes for acute therapy include headache improvement (typically >50%) and headache freedom at a defined time point after intervention (typically 2 hours).

The outcomes for preventive therapy include change in headache frequency (defined as number of migraine days per month) and headache severity (defined by visual analog scale, PedMIDAS scores, or another established metric).

The outcomes for nonpharmacologic therapy include change in headache frequency (defined as number of migraine days per month) and headache severity (defined by visual analog scale, PedMIDAS scores, or another established metric).

## Study selection

A medical librarian performed a comprehensive literature search to obtain the relevant studies.

The panel developed the search terms described below based on the proposed clinical questions and the research librarian performed literature searches of the MEDLINE and Cochrane databases and the grey literature using the following search strategy:

1. migraine headache AND
2. ibuprofen
3. acetaminophen (US)/paracetamol (international)
4. triptans: sumatriptan, rizatriptan, zolmitriptan, naratriptan, almotriptan, frovatriptan, eletriptan
5. DHE (dihydroergotamine)
6. ketorolac
7. diclofenac
8. gabapentin
9. antihistamines: diphenhydramine
10. caffeine
11. dopamine agonists: chlorpromazine/metoclopramide
12. cyproheptadine
13. beta blockers (propranolol)
14. calcium channel blockers (flunarizine)
15. alpha agonists (clonidine)
16. TCA (tricyclic antidepressant): amitriptyline, protriptyline, and nortriptyline

17. SNRI (serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor): venlafaxine
18. tetracyclic antidepressant (trazodone)
19. serotonin agonist/antihistamine (pizotifen, hydroxyzine)
20. anticonvulsant (divalproex sodium, topiramate, levetiracetam, zonisamide)
21. Botox
22. butterbur/petadolex
23. feverfew extract
24. coenzyme q10
25. vitamins: riboflavin, ergocalciferol
26. magnesium
27. melatonin
28. biofeedback
29. cognitive-behavioral therapy
30. music therapy
31. Internet self-help
32. acupuncture
33. transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) unit (Cefaly)

## **Timeline**

The tentative timeline for the systematic review will be as follows:

*Review of abstracts:* Completed by May 2015

*Protocol posted for public comment:* June 5, 2015 to July 5, 2015

*Screening of full-text articles:* Completed by September 2015

*Review of full-text articles and data extraction:* Completed by November 2015

*Evidence tables:* Completed by December 2015

*First draft of systematic review:* Completed and presented to the GDDI April 2016

*Systematic review draft manuscript posted for public comment:* May 9 2016 to June 9 2016

## **DISCLAIMER**

Clinical practice guidelines, practice advisories, systematic reviews and other guidance published by the American Academy of Neurology and its affiliates are assessments of current scientific and clinical information provided as an educational service. The information: 1) should not be considered inclusive of all proper treatments, methods of care, or as a statement of the standard of care; 2) is not continually updated and may not reflect the most recent evidence (new evidence may emerge between the time information is developed and when it is published or read); 3) addresses only the question(s) specifically identified; 4) does not mandate any particular course of medical care; and 5) is not intended to substitute for the independent professional judgment of the treating provider, as the information does not account for individual variation among patients. In all cases, the selected course of action should be considered by the treating provider in the context of treating the individual patient. Use of the information is voluntary. AAN provides this information on an “as is” basis, and makes no warranty, expressed or implied, regarding the information. AAN specifically disclaims any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular use or purpose. AAN assumes no responsibility for any injury or damage to persons or property arising out of or related to any use of this information or for any errors or omissions.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The American Academy of Neurology is committed to producing independent, critical, and truthful clinical practice guidelines (CPGs). Significant efforts are made to minimize the potential for conflicts of interest to influence the recommendations of this CPG. To the extent possible, the AAN keeps separate those who have a financial stake in the success or failure of the products appraised in the CPGs and the developers of the guidelines. Conflict of interest forms were obtained from all authors and reviewed by an oversight committee prior to project initiation. AAN limits the participation of authors with substantial conflicts of interest. The AAN forbids commercial participation in, or funding of, guideline projects. Drafts of the guideline have been reviewed by at least three AAN committees, a network of neurologists, *Neurology* peer reviewers, and representatives from related fields. The AAN Guideline Author Conflict of Interest Policy can be viewed at [www.aan.com](http://www.aan.com). For complete information on this process, access the 2011 AAN process manual.<sup>9</sup>

## REFERENCES

1. Lewis D, Ashwal S, Hershey A, et al. Practice parameter: pharmacological treatment of migraine headache in children and adolescents: report of the American Academy of Neurology Quality Standards Subcommittee and the Practice Committee of the Child Neurology Society. *Neurology* 2004;63:2215–2224.
2. Gelfand AA, Goadsby PJ. Treatment of pediatric migraine in the emergency room. *Pediatr Neurol* 2012;47:233–241.
3. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS). The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version). *Cephalalgia* 2003;23:1–108.
4. Lopez JI. Pediatric headache. Medscape Web site. Available at: <http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/2110861-overview>. Updated April 26, 2014. Accessed February 28, 2015.
5. Insinga RP, Ng-Mak DS, Hanson ME. Costs associated with outpatient, emergency room and inpatient care for migraine in the USA. *Cephalalgia* 2011;31:1570–1575.
6. Kedia S, Ginde AA, Grubenhoff JA, Kempe A, Hershey AD, Powers SW. Monthly variation of United States pediatric headache emergency department visits. *Cephalalgia* 2014;34:473–478.
7. Ferracini GN, Dach F, Speciali JG. Quality of life and health-related disability in children with migraine. *Headache* 2014;54:325–334.
8. Powers SW, Patton SR, Hommel KA, Hershey AD. Quality of life in childhood migraines: clinical impact and comparison to other chronic illnesses. *Pediatrics* 2003;112(1 pt 1):e1–e5.

9. American Academy of Neurology. 2011. Clinical Practice Guideline Process Manual, 2011 ed. St. Paul, MN: The American Academy of Neurology.  
<https://www.aan.com/Guidelines/Home/Development>. Published August 2011. Accessed February 28, 2015.
10. Institute of Medicine of the National Academies. Finding What Works in Health Care: Standards for Systematic Reviews. *www.iom.edu/Reports/2011/Finding-What-Works-in-Health-Care-Standards-for-Systematic-Reviews.aspx*. Released March 23, 2011. Accessed August 11, 2011.
11. Institute of Medicine. 2011. Finding What Works in Health Care: Standards for Systematic Reviews.