AAN Issues Updated Sports Concussion Guideline: Athletes with Suspected Concussion Should Be Removed from Play

MINNEAPOLIS – With more than one million athletes now experiencing a concussion each year in the United States, the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) has released an evidence-based guideline for evaluating and managing athletes with concussion. This new guideline replaces the 1997 AAN guideline on the same topic. The new guideline is published in the March 18, 2013, online issue of Neurology®, the medical journal of the American Academy of Neurology, was developed through an objective evidence-based review of the literature by a multidisciplinary committee of experts and has been endorsed by a broad range of athletic, medical and patient groups.

“Among the most important recommendations the Academy is making is that any athlete suspected of experiencing a concussion immediately be removed from play,” said co-lead guideline author Christopher C. Giza, MD, with the David Geffen School of Medicine and Mattel Children’s Hospital at UCLA and a member of the AAN. “We’ve moved away from the concussion grading systems we first established in 1997 and are now recommending concussion and return to play be assessed in each athlete individually. There is no set timeline for safe return to play.”

The updated guideline recommends athletes with suspected concussion be immediately taken out of the game and not returned until assessed by a licensed health care professional trained in concussion, return to play slowly and only after all acute symptoms are gone. Athletes of high school age and younger with a concussion should be managed more conservatively in regard to return to play, as evidence shows that they take longer to recover than college athletes.

The guideline was developed reviewing all available evidence published through June 2012. These practice recommendations are based on an evaluation of the best available research. In recognition that scientific study and clinical care for sports concussions involves multiple specialties, a broad range of expertise was incorporated in the author panel. To develop this document, the authors spent thousands of work hours locating and analyzing scientific studies. The authors excluded studies that did not provide enough evidence to make recommendations, such as reports on individual patients or expert opinion. At least two authors independently analyzed and graded each study.

-more-
According to the guideline:

- Among the sports in the studies evaluated, risk of concussion is greatest in football and rugby, followed by hockey and soccer. The risk of concussion for young women and girls is greatest in soccer and basketball.

- An athlete who has a history of one or more concussions is at greater risk for being diagnosed with another concussion.

- The first 10 days after a concussion appears to be the period of greatest risk for being diagnosed with another concussion.

- There is no clear evidence that one type of football helmet can better protect against concussion over another kind of helmet. Helmets should fit properly and be well maintained.

- Licensed health professionals trained in treating concussion should look for ongoing symptoms (especially headache and fogginess), history of concussions and younger age in the athlete. Each of these factors has been linked to a longer recovery after a concussion.

- Risk factors linked to chronic neurobehavioral impairment in professional athletes include prior concussion, longer exposure to the sport and having the ApoE4 gene.

- Concussion is a clinical diagnosis. Symptom checklists, the Standardized Assessment of Concussion (SAC), neuropsychological testing (paper-and-pencil and computerized) and the Balance Error Scoring System may be helpful tools in diagnosing and managing concussions but should not be used alone for making a diagnosis.

Signs and symptoms of a concussion include:

- Headache and sensitivity to light and sound
- Changes to reaction time, balance and coordination
- Changes in memory, judgment, speech and sleep
- Loss of consciousness or a “blackout” (happens in less than 10 percent of cases)

“If in doubt, sit it out,” said Jeffrey S. Kutcher, MD, with the University of Michigan Medical School in Ann Arbor and a member of the AAN. “Being seen by a trained professional is extremely important after a concussion. If headaches or other symptoms return with the start of exercise, stop the activity and consult a doctor. You only get one brain; treat it well.”
The guideline states that while an athlete should immediately be removed from play following a concussion, there is currently insufficient evidence to support absolute rest after concussion. Activities that do not worsen symptoms and do not pose a risk of repeat concussion may be part of concussion management.

The guideline is endorsed by the National Football League Players Association, the American Football Coaches Association, the Child Neurology Society, the National Association of Emergency Medical Service Physicians, the National Association of School Psychologists, the National Athletic Trainers Association and the Neurocritical Care Society.

To learn more about concussion, visit http://www.aan.com/concussion or download the Academy’s new app, Concussion Quick Check, to quickly help coaches and athletic trainers recognize the signs of concussion.

The American Academy of Neurology, an association of more than 25,000 neurologists and neuroscience professionals, is dedicated to promoting the highest quality patient-centered neurologic care. A neurologist is a doctor with specialized training in diagnosing, treating and managing disorders of the brain and nervous system such as Alzheimer’s disease, stroke, migraine, multiple sclerosis, concussion, Parkinson’s disease and epilepsy.

For more information about the American Academy of Neurology, visit http://www.aan.com or find us on Facebook, Twitter, Google+ and YouTube.

Editor’s Note on Press Conference:
Drs. Giza and Kutcher will be available for media questions during a press conference at 11:00 a.m. PT/2:00 p.m. ET, on Monday, March 18, 2013, in Room 14B of the San Diego Convention Center in San Diego. Please contact Rachel Seroka, rseroka@aan.com, to receive conference call information for those reporters covering the press conference off-site.

Drs. Giza and Kutcher are also available for advance media interviews. Please contact Rachel Seroka, rseroka@aan.com, to schedule an advance interview.

To access more than 2,300 non-Emerging Science abstracts to be presented at the 2013 Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Neurology, visit http://www.aan.com/go/am13/science. Advance copies of all Emerging Science abstracts to be presented at the Annual Meeting are available by contacting Rachel Seroka, rseroka@aan.com.
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Summary of evidence-based guideline update: Evaluation and management of concussion in sports


ABSTRACT

Objective: To update the 1997 American Academy of Neurology (AAN) practice parameter regarding sports concussion, focusing on 4 questions: 1) What factors increase/decrease concussion risk? 2) What diagnostic tools identify those with concussion and those at increased risk for severe/prolonged early impairments, neurologic catastrophe, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment? 3) What clinical factors identify those at increased risk for severe/prolonged early postconcussion impairments, neurologic catastrophe, recurrent concussions, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment? 4) What interventions enhance recovery, reduce recurrent concussion risk, or diminish long-term sequelae? The complete guideline on which this summary is based is available as an online data supplement to this article.

Methods: We systematically reviewed the literature from 1955 to June 2012 for pertinent evidence. We assessed evidence for quality and synthesized into conclusions using a modified Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation process. We used a modified Delphi process to develop recommendations.

Results: Specific risk factors can increase or decrease concussion risk. Diagnostic tools to help identify individuals with concussion include graded symptom checklists, the Standardized Assessment of Concussion, neuropsychological assessments, and the Balance Error Scoring System. Ongoing clinical symptoms, concussion history, and younger age identify those at risk for postconcussion impairments. Risk factors for recurrent concussion include history of multiple concussions, particularly within 10 days after initial concussion. Risk factors for chronic neurobehavioral impairment include concussion exposure and APOE e4 genotype. Data are insufficient to show that any intervention enhances recovery or diminishes long-term sequelae postconcussion. Practice recommendations are presented for preparticipation counseling, management of suspected concussion, and management of diagnosed concussion. Neurology® 2013;***

Concussion is recognized as a clinical syndrome of biomechanically induced alteration of brain function, typically affecting memory and orientation, which may involve loss of consciousness (LOC). Estimates of sports-related mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) range from 1.6 to 3.8 million affected individuals annually in the United States, many of whom do not obtain immediate medical attention. The table summarizes the currently available data for the overall concussion rate (CR) and the CRs for 5
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commonly played high school and collegiate sports in males and females. Variability in care provider experience and training, coupled with an explosion of published reports related to sports concussion and mTBI, has led to some uncertainty and inconsistency in the management of these injuries.

This evidence-based guideline replaces the 1997 American Academy of Neurology (AAN) practice parameter on the management of sports concussion. It reviews the evidence published since 1955 regarding the evaluation and management of sports concussion in children, adolescents, and adults. This document summarizes extensive information provided in the complete guideline, available as a data supplement on the Neurology® Web site at www.neurology.org. References e1–e68, cited in this summary, are available at www.neurology.org.

This guideline addresses the following clinical questions:

1. For athletes, what factors increase or decrease concussion risk?
2a. For athletes suspected of having sustained concussion, what diagnostic tools are useful in identifying those with concussion?
2b. For athletes suspected of having sustained concussion, what diagnostic tools are useful in identifying those at increased risk for severe or prolonged early impairments, neurologic catastrophe, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment?
3. For athletes with concussion, what clinical factors are useful in identifying those at increased risk for severe or prolonged early postconcussion impairments, neurologic catastrophe, recurrent concussions, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment?
4. For athletes with concussion, what interventions enhance recovery, reduce the risk of recurrent concussion, or diminish long-term sequelae?

**DESCRIPTION OF THE ANALYTIC PROCESS** This guideline was developed according to the processes described in the 2004 and 2011 AAN guideline development process manuals. After review of conflict of interest statements, the AAN selected a multidisciplinary panel of experts. A medical research librarian assisted the panel in performing a comprehensive literature search. Articles were selected for inclusion and rated for quality independently by 2 authors. Evidence was synthesized using a modified form of the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation process. The panel formulated recommendations on the basis of the evidence systematically reviewed, from stipulated axiomatic principles of care, and, when evidence directly related to sports concussion was unavailable, from strong evidence derived from non–sports-related mTBI. The clinician level of obligation of recommendations was assigned using a modified Delphi process.

**ANALYSIS OF EVIDENCE** The definitions for concussion/mTBI used in the identified studies were not identical but were judged by the panel to be sufficiently similar to allow for review.

**For athletes, what factors increase or decrease concussion risk?** Some athletes may be at greater risk of sport-related concussion (SRC) associated with different factors (e.g., age, sex, sport played, level of sport played, equipment used).

- **Age/level of competition.** Based on Class I studies, there is insufficient evidence to determine whether age or level of competition affects concussion risk overall, as findings are not consistent across all studies or in all sports examined.

- **Sex.** Because of the greater number of male participants in sports studied, the total number of concussions is greater for males than females for all sports combined. However, the relationship of concussion risk and sex varies among sports. Based on Class I and Class II studies, it is highly probable that concussion risk is greater for female athletes participating in soccer or basketball.

**Type of sport.** It is highly likely that there is a greater concussion risk with American football and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Rate/1,000 games</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Football</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ice hockey</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soccer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basketball</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseball/softball</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summary of 9 sports</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Assumes that competitive high school and collegiate baseball players were mainly male and softball players were mainly female.

*b Sports include football, boys’ and girls’ soccer, volleyball, boys’ and girls’ basketball, wrestling, baseball, and softball.
Australian rugby than with other sports.6,11,14–16 It is highly likely that the risk is lowest for baseball, softball, volleyball, and gymnastics. For female athletes, it is highly likely that soccer is the sport with the greatest concussion risk (multiple Class I studies).13,17

**Equipment.** It is highly probable that headgear use has a protective effect on concussion incidence in rugby (2 Class I studies).18,19 There is no compelling evidence that mouth guards protect athletes from concussion (3 Class I studies).18–20 Data are insufficient to support or refute the efficacy of protective soccer headgear. Data are insufficient to support or refute the superiority of one type of football helmet in preventing concussions.

**Position.** Data are insufficient to characterize concussion risk by position in most major team sports. In collegiate football, concussion risk is probably greater among linebackers, offensive linemen, and defensive backs as compared with receivers (Class I and Class II studies).21,22

**Body checking in ice hockey.** Body checking is likely to increase the risk of SRC in ice hockey (1 Class I study).23

**Athlete-related factors.** Athlete-specific characteristics such as body mass index greater than 27 kg/m² and training time less than 3 hours weekly likely increase the risk of concussion (1 Class I study).24

For athletes suspected of having sustained concussion, what diagnostic tools are useful in identifying those with concussion? The reference standard by which these tools were compared was a clinician-diagnosed concussion (by physician or certified athletic trainer). None of these tools is intended to “rule out” concussion or to be a substitute for more thorough medical, neurologic, or neuropsychological evaluations.

**Post-Concussion Symptom Scale or Graded Symptom Checklist.** The Post-Concussion Symptom Scale (PCSS) and Graded Symptom Checklist (GSC) consist of simple checklists of symptoms. They may be administered by trained personnel, psychologists, nurses, or physicians, or be self-reported. Evidence indicates it is likely that a GSC or PCSS will accurately identify concussion in athletes involved in an event during which biomechanical forces were imparted to the head (sensitivity 64%–89%, specificity 91%–100%) (multiple Class III studies).25–33

**Standardized Assessment of Concussion.** The Standardized Assessment of Concussion (SAC) is an instrument designed for 6-minute administration to assess 4 neurocognitive domains—orientation, immediate memory, concentration, and delayed recall—for use by nonphysicians on the sidelines of an athletic event. The SAC is likely to identify the presence of concussion in the early stages postinjury (sensitivity 80%–94%, specificity 76%–91%) (multiple Class III studies).3,8,25,26,34–37

**Neuropsychological testing.** Instruments for neuropsychological testing are divided into 2 types on the basis of their method of administration: paper-and-pencil and computer. Both types generally require a neuropsychologist for accurate interpretation, although they may be administered by a non-neuropsychologist. It is likely that neuropsychological testing of memory performance, reaction time, and speed of cognitive processing, regardless of whether administered by paper-and-pencil or computerized method, is useful in identifying the presence of concussion (sensitivity 71%–88% of athletes with concussion) (1 Class II study,38 multiple Class III studies25,26,29,30,33,40,41–49). There is insufficient evidence to support conclusions about the use of neuropsychological testing in identifying concussion in preadolescent age groups.

**Balance Error Scoring System.** The Balance Error Scoring System (BESS) is a clinical balance assessment for assessing postural stability that can be completed in about 5 minutes. The BESS assessment tool is likely to identify concussion with low to moderate diagnostic accuracy (sensitivity 34%–64%, specificity 91%) (multiple Class III studies25,26,7,48).

**Sensory Organization Test.** The Sensory Organization Test (SOT) uses a force plate to measure a subject’s ability to maintain equilibrium while it systematically alters orientation information available to the somatosensory or visual inputs (or both). The SOT assessment tool is likely to identify concussion with low to moderate diagnostic accuracy (sensitivity 48%–61%, specificity 85%–90%) (multiple Class III studies5,27–29).

**Diagnostic measures used in combination.** A combination of diagnostic tests as compared with individual tests is likely to improve diagnostic accuracy of concussion (multiple Class III studies25,26,30,31). Currently, however, there is insufficient evidence to determine the best combination of specific measures to improve identification of concussion.

For athletes suspected of having sustained concussion, what diagnostic tools are useful in identifying those at increased risk for severe or prolonged early impairments, neurologic catastrophe, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment? In addition to use for confirmation of the presence of concussion, diagnostic tools may potentially be used to identify athletes with concussion-related early impairments, sports-related neurologic catastrophes (e.g., subdural hematoma), or chronic neurobehavioral impairments. No studies were found relevant to prediction of sports-related neurologic catastrophe or chronic neurobehavioral impairment.

Studies relevant to the prediction of early postconcussive impairments provided moderate to strong evidence that elevated postconcussive symptoms (1 Class I study, multiple Class II and Class III studies52,54,55,56), lower SAC scores (2 Class I studies25,26), neuropsychological testing score reductions (3 Class I studies41,45,12 and 3 Class II studies28,43,44 studies), and deficits on BESS (1 Class I study33) and SOT (1 Class I study32, 1 Class II study57) are likely to be associated with more severe or prolonged early postconcussive cognitive impairments. It is possible that gait stability dual-tasking testing identifies athletes...
with early postconcussive impairments (1 small Class I study, 1 Class III study). For athletes with concussion, what clinical factors are useful in identifying those at increased risk for severe or prolonged early postconcussive impairments, neurologic catastrophe, recurrent concussions, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment? **Predictors of severe or prolonged early postconcussive impairments.** It is highly probable that ongoing clinical symptoms are associated with persistent neurocognitive impairments demonstrated on objective testing (1 Class I study, 2 Class II studies). There is also a high likelihood that history of concussion (3 Class I studies, 2 Class III studies) is associated with more severe/longer duration of symptoms and cognitive deficits. Probable risk factors for persistent neurocognitive problems or prolonged return to play (RTP) include early posttraumatic headache (1 Class I study, 5 Class II studies), fatigue/fogginess (1 Class I study, 2 Class II studies), and early amnesia, alteration in mental status, or disorientation (1 Class I study, 1 Class II study, 2 Class III studies). It is also probable that younger age/level of play (2 Class I studies) is a risk factor for prolonged recovery. In peewee hockey, body checking is likely to be a risk factor for more severe concussions as measured by prolonged RTP (1 Class I study). Possible risk factors for persistent neurocognitive problems include prior history of headaches (1 Class II study). Possible risk factors for more prolonged RTP include having symptoms of dizziness (1 Class III study), playing the quarterback position in football (1 Class III study), and wearing a half-face shield in hockey (relative to wearing full-face shields, 1 Class III study). In football, playing on artificial turf is possibly a risk factor for more severe concussions (1 Class I study, but small numbers of repeat concussions). There is conflicting evidence as to whether female or male sex is a risk factor for more postconcussive symptoms, so no conclusion could be drawn.

**Predictors of neurologic catastrophe.** Data are insufficient to identify specific risk factors for catastrophic outcome after SRCs.

**Predictors of recurrent concussions.** A history of concussion is a highly probable risk factor for recurrent concussion (6 Class I studies, 1 Class II study). It is also highly likely that there is an increased risk for repeat concussion in the first 10 days after an initial concussion (2 Class I studies), an observation supported by pathophysiologic studies. Probable risk factors for recurrent concussion include longer length of participation (1 Class I study) and quarterback position played in football (1 Class I study, 1 Class III study).

**Predictors of chronic neurobehavioral impairment.** Prior concussion exposure is highly likely to be a risk factor for chronic neurobehavioral impairment across a broad range of professional sports, and there appears to be a relationship with increasing exposure (2 Class I studies, 6 Class II studies, 1 Class III study) in football, soccer, boxing, and horse racing. One Class II study in soccer found no such relationship. Evidence is insufficient to determine whether there is a relationship between chronic cognitive impairment and headache in professional soccer.

Data are insufficient to determine whether prior concussion exposure is associated with chronic cognitive impairment in amateur athletes (9 Class I studies, 9 Class II studies, 3 Class III studies). Likewise, data are insufficient to determine whether the number of heading incidents is associated with neurobehavioral impairments in amateur soccer. APOE ε4 genotype is likely to be associated with chronic cognitive impairment after concussion exposure (2 Class II studies), and preexisting learning disability may be a risk factor (1 Class I study). Data are insufficient to conclude whether sex and age are risk factors for chronic postconcussive problems.

For athletes with concussion, what interventions enhance recovery, reduce the risk of recurrent concussion, or diminish long-term sequelae? Each of several studies addressed a different aspect of postconcussion intervention, providing evidence that was graded as very low to low. (On the basis of the available evidence, no conclusions can be drawn regarding the effect of postconcussive activity level on the recovery from SRC or the likelihood of developing chronic postconcussional complications.

**PRACTICE RECOMMENDATIONS** For this guideline, recommendations have each been categorized as 1 of 3 types: 1) preparticipation counseling recommendations; 2) recommendations related to assessment, diagnosis, and management of suspected concussion; and 3) recommendations for management of diagnosed concussion (including acute management, RTP, and retirement). In this section, the term experienced licensed health care provider (LHCP) refers to an individual who has acquired knowledge and skills relevant to evaluation and management of sports concussions and is practicing within the scope of his or her training and experience. The role of the LHCP can generally be characterized in 1 of 2 ways: sideline (at the sporting event) or clinical (at an outpatient clinic or emergency room).

**Preparticipation counseling.**

1. School-based professionals should be educated by experienced LHCPs designated by their organization/institution to understand the risks of experiencing a concussion so that they may provide accurate information to parents and athletes (Level B).
2. To foster informed decision-making, LHCPs should inform athletes (and where appropriate, the athletes’ families) of evidence concerning the concussion risk factors. Accurate information regarding concussion risks also should be disseminated to school systems and sports authorities (Level B).

**Suspected concussion. Use of checklists and screening tools.**

1. Inexperienced LHCPs should be instructed in the proper administration of standardized validated sideline assessment tools. This instruction should emphasize that these tools are only an adjunct to the evaluation of the athlete with suspected concussion and cannot be used alone to diagnose concussion (Level B). These providers should be instructed by experienced individuals (LHCP’s) who themselves are licensed, knowledgeable about sports concussion, and practicing within the scope of their training and experience, designated by their organization/institution in the proper administration of the standardized validated sideline assessment tools (Level B).

2. In individuals with suspected concussion, these tools should be utilized by sideline LHCP’s and the results made available to clinical LHCP’s who will be evaluating the injured athlete (Level B).

3. LHCP’s caring for athletes might utilize individual baseline scores on concussion assessment tools, especially in younger athletes, those with prior concussions, or those with preexisting learning disabilities/attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, as doing so fosters better interpretation of postinjury scores (Level C).

4. Team personnel (e.g., coaching, athletic training staff, sideline LHCP’s) should immediately remove from play any athlete suspected of having sustained a concussion, in order to minimize the risk of further injury (Level B).

5. Team personnel should not permit the athlete to return to play until the athlete has been assessed by an experienced LHCP with training both in the diagnosis and management of concussion and in the recognition of more severe traumatic brain injury (TBI) (Level B).

**Neuroimaging.** CT imaging should not be used to diagnose SRC but might be obtained to rule out more serious TBI such as an intracranial hemorrhage in athletes with a suspected concussion who have LOC, posttraumatic amnesia, persistently altered mental status (Glasgow Coma Scale <15), focal neurologic deficit, evidence of skull fracture on examination, or signs of clinical deterioration (Level C).

**Management of diagnosed concussion. RTP: Risk of recurrent concussion.**

1. In order to diminish the risk of recurrent injury, individuals supervising athletes should prohibit an athlete with concussion from returning to play/practice (contact-risk activity) until an LHCP has judged that the concussion has resolved (Level B).

2. In order to diminish the risk of recurrent injury, individuals supervising athletes should prohibit an athlete with concussion from returning to play/practice (contact-risk activity) until the athlete is asymptomatic off medication (Level B).

**RTP: Age effects.**

1. Individuals supervising athletes of high school age or younger with diagnosed concussion should manage them more conservatively regarding RTP than they manage older athletes (Level B).

2. Individuals using concussion assessment tools for the evaluation of athletes of preteen age or younger should ensure that these tools demonstrate appropriate psychometric properties of reliability and validity (Level B).

**RTP: Concussion resolution.** Clinical LHCP’s might use supplemental information, such as neurocognitive testing or other tools, to assist in determining concussion resolution. This may include but is not limited to resolution of symptoms as determined by standardized checklists and return to age-matched normative values or an individual’s preinjury baseline performance on validated neurocognitive testing (Level C).

**RTP: Graded physical activity.** LHCP’s might develop individualized graded plans for return to physical and cognitive activity, guided by a carefully monitored, clinically based approach to minimize exacerbation of early postconcussive impairments (Level C).

**Cognitive restructuring.** Cognitive restructuring is a form of brief psychological counseling that consists of education, reassurance, and reattribution of symptoms. Whereas there are no specific studies using cognitive restructuring specifically in sports concussions, multiple studies using this intervention for mTBI have shown benefit in decreasing the proportion of individuals who develop chronic postconcussion syndrome. Therefore, LHCP’s might provide cognitive restructuring counseling to all athletes with concussion to shorten the duration of subjective symptoms and diminish the likelihood of development of chronic postconcussion syndrome (Level C).

**Retirement from play after multiple concussions: Assessment.**

1. LHCP’s might refer professional athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairments for neuropsychological assessment (Level C).

2. LHCP’s caring for amateur athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairments might use formal
neurologic/cognitive assessment to help guide retirement-from-play decisions (Level C).

**Retirement from play: Counseling.**

1. LHCP's should counsel athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairment about the risk factors for developing permanent or lasting neurobehavioral or cognitive impairments (Level B).

2. LHCP's caring for professional contact sport athletes who show objective evidence for chronic/persistent neurologic/cognitive deficits (such as seen on formal neuropsychological testing) should recommend retirement from the contact sport to minimize risk for and severity of chronic neurobehavioral impairments (Level B).
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ABBREVIATIONS

AAN: American Academy of Neurology

AE: Athlete exposure

ANAM: Automated Neuropsychological Assessment Metrics

BESS: Balance Error Scoring System

CBI: Chronic Brain Injury

CI: Confidence interval

CLO: Clinician level of obligation

COP: Center of pressure

CR: Concussion rate

CTE: Chronic traumatic encephalopathy

GSC: Graded Symptom Checklist

HITS: Head Impact Telemetry System

ImPACT: Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing

IRR: Incidence rate ratio

LHCP: licensed health care provider knowledgeable and skilled in sports concussions and practicing within the scope of his or her training and experience
LOC: Loss of consciousness
mTBI: Mild traumatic brain injury
NFL: National Football League
OR: Odds ratio
PCSS: Postconcussion Symptom Scores
PID: Postinjury day
PTA: Posttraumatic (anterograde) amnesia
RGA: Retrograde amnesia
RR: Relative risk
RTP: Return to play
SAC: Standardized Assessment of Concussion
SFWP: Symptom-free waiting period
SOT: Sensory Organizational Testing
SRC: Sport-related concussion
SSTET: Subsymptom threshold exercise training
ABSTRACT

Objective: To update the 1997 American Academy of Neurology practice parameter regarding the evaluation and management of sports concussion, with a focus on four questions: 1) For athletes what factors increase or decrease concussion risk? 2) For athletes suspected of having sustained concussion, what diagnostic tools are useful in identifying those with concussion and those at increased risk for severe or prolonged early impairments, neurologic catastrophe, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment? 3) For athletes with concussion, what clinical factors are useful in identifying those at increased risk for severe or prolonged early postconcussion impairments, neurologic catastrophe, recurrent concussions, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment? 4) For athletes with concussion, what interventions enhance recovery, reduce the risk of recurrent concussion, or diminish long-term sequelae?

Methods: A systematic review of the literature from 1955–June 2012 for pertinent evidence was performed. Evidence was assessed for quality and synthesized into conclusions by use of a modified form of the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation process. Recommendations were developed using a modified Delphi process.

Results: 1) Specific risk factors increase (type of sport – football, rugby) or decrease (type of sport – baseball, softball, volleyball, and gymnastics; rugby helmet use) the risk of concussion. 2) Diagnostic tools useful to identify those with concussion include graded symptom checklists, Standardized Assessment of Concussion, neuropsychological testing (paper-and-pencil and computerized), and the Balance Error Scoring System. 3) Ongoing clinical symptoms, history of prior concussions, and younger age identify those at risk for prolonged postconcussion impairments. Risk factors for recurrent concussion include having a history of multiple concussions and being within 10 days after an initial concussion. Risk factors for chronic...
neurobehavioral impairment include concussion exposure and apolipoprotein E epsilon4 genotype. 4) There is insufficient evidence to show that any intervention enhances recovery or diminishes long-term sequelae after a sports-related concussion. Nineteen evidence-based recommendations were developed in 3 categories: preparticipation counseling, assessment and management of suspected concussion, and management of diagnosed concussion.
INTRODUCTION

Concussion is recognized as a clinical syndrome of biomechanically induced alteration of brain function, typically affecting memory and orientation, which may involve loss of consciousness (LOC). For the most part, definitions of the terms concussion and mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) overlap, as both terms represent the less-severe end of the traumatic brain injury (TBI) spectrum, where acute neurologic dysfunction generally recovers over time and occurs in the absence of significant macrostructural damage. For the purposes of this evidence-based review, the “reference standard” for article inclusion is a clinician-diagnosed concussion/mTBI. Whereas the definitions for a clinician-diagnosed concussion/mTBI are not identical throughout the existing literature, the vast majority of these cases are recognized as being sufficiently similar to allow for review and data extraction (see appendix 1).

Estimates of sports-related mTBI range from 1.6–3.8 million affected individuals annually in the United States, many of whom do not obtain immediate medical attention. Table 1 summarizes the currently available data for the overall concussion rate (CR) and the CRs for five commonly played high school and collegiate sports in males and females. As public awareness of sports concussion has increased substantially in recent years (Concussion issue of Sports Illustrated, New York Times articles, Congressional hearings), existing guidelines for recognition and clinical management remain largely consensus based. Medical care, when sought, is provided by a range of medical professionals who vary widely in their degree of expertise in evaluating and managing affected athletes suffering from sports concussions. This variability in care provider experience and training, coupled with an explosion of published reports related to sports concussion and mTBI, has led to some uncertainty and inconsistency in the management
of these injuries. Legislative actions in many states have begun to mandate aspects of education and management of youth sports concussions. The impetus for developing this systematic, evidence-based multidisciplinary guideline derives from several factors: high-profile injuries in professional sports, questions about cumulative damage risk, concerns about increased vulnerability in youth, and ongoing extrapolation of mTBI guidelines from sports to other settings (such as military ‘blast’ TBI). As of this writing, 42 states have passed legislation pertinent to sports concussion management, making objective guidelines all the more important.

Since 1997, the guidelines predominantly used for management of sports concussions have been consensus based. Over time there has been a move away from using an acute grading system in trying to predict concussion outcomes to using a more individualized approach based on risk factors for prolonged recovery. Current standard of practice is to remove from the field athletes suspected of sustaining a concussion until they are assessed by a medical professional to determine whether a concussion has occurred. Athletes with concussion are then assessed over time, and a gradual return to play (RTP) procedure is followed. In many states, recent legislation prohibits young athletes with concussion from returning to play the same day. On the basis of these factors, previous guidelines permitting same-day RTP in subsets of athletes with concussion are in need of updating.

This evidence-based guideline, which replaces the 1997 American Academy of Neurology (AAN) practice parameter on the management of sports concussion, reviews the evidence published between 1955 and June 2012 regarding the evaluation and management of sports concussion in children, adolescents, and adults. In accordance with AAN criteria, Class IV
null
DESCRIPTION OF THE ANALYTIC PROCESS

This guideline was developed according to the processes described in the 2004 and 2011 AAN guideline development process manuals. After review of potential panel members’ conflict of interest statements and curriculum vitae the AAN Guideline Development Subcommittee (appendices 2 and 3) selected a multidisciplinary panel of experts. Panel members came from multiple specialties, including neurology (adult: J.S.K., S.M.; child: S.A., C.C.G.), neuropsychology (adult: J.B.; child: G.A.G.), neurosurgery (G.M.), sports medicine (D.B.M.), athletic training (K.G.), neurorehabilitation (R.Z.), epidemiology/statistics (D.J.T.), and evidence-based methodology (G.G.). After an analytic framework was considered, questions answerable from the evidence were developed through informal consensus. A medical librarian assisted the panel in performing a comprehensive literature search of multiple databases to obtain the relevant studies. Appendix 4 presents the comprehensive search strategy, including terms, years, and databases searched.

Only papers relevant to sports concussion or sports-related mTBI published between 1955 and June 2012 were included. A study’s quality (risk of bias) as it pertains to the questions was measured by the AAN’s four-tiered classification of evidence schemes pertinent to diagnostic, prognostic, or therapeutic questions (appendix 5). Class I and II studies are discussed in the guideline text and documented in the evidence tables (appendix 6). Class III studies are included in the evidence tables but may not have been mentioned in the text if multiple studies with higher levels of evidence are available. As previously mentioned, Class IV studies such as case series or meta-analyses have been excluded. Characteristics influencing a study’s risk of bias and
generalizability were abstracted using a structured data collection form. Each article was selected for inclusion and study characteristics abstracted independently by two panel members. Disagreements were resolved by discussion between the two panel members. A third panel member adjudicated remaining disagreements. Evidence tables were constructed from the abstracted study characteristics.

Evidence was synthesized and conclusions developed using a modified form of the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation process. The confidence in evidence was anchored to the studies’ risk of bias according to the rules outlined in appendix 7. The overall confidence in the evidence pertinent to a question could be downgraded by one or more levels on the basis of five factors: consistency, precision, directness, publication bias, or biological plausibility. In addition, the overall confidence in the evidence pertinent to a question could be downgraded one or more levels or upgraded by one level on the basis of three factors: magnitude of effect, dose response relationship, or direction of bias. Two panel members working together completed an evidence summary table to determine the final confidence in the evidence (appendix 7). The confidence in the evidence is indicated by use of modal operators in conclusion statements in the guideline. “Highly likely” or “highly probable” corresponds to high confidence level, “likely” or “probable” corresponds to moderate confidence level, and “possibly” corresponds to low confidence level. Very low confidence is indicated by the term “insufficient evidence.”
The panel formulated a rationale for recommendations (appendix 8) based on the evidence systematically reviewed, on stipulated axiomatic principles of care, and, when evidence directly related to sports concussion was unavailable, on strong evidence derived from the non–sports-related TBI literature. This rationale is explained in a section labeled “Clinical Context” which precedes each set of recommendations. From this rationale, corresponding actionable recommendations were inferred. To reduce the risk of bias from the influences of “group think” and dominant personalities, the clinician level of obligation (CLO) of the recommendations was assigned using a modified Delphi process that considered the following prespecified domains: the confidence in the evidence systematically reviewed, the acceptability of axiomatic principles of care, the strength of indirect evidence, and the relative magnitude of benefit to harm. Additional factors explicitly considered by the panel that could modify the CLO include judgments regarding the importance of outcomes, cost of compliance to the recommendation relative to benefit, the availability of the intervention, and anticipated variations in athletes’ preferences. The prespecified rules for determining the final CLO from these domains is indicated in appendix 8. The CLO is indicated using standard modal operators. “Must” corresponds to “Level A,” very strong recommendations; “should” to “Level B,” strong recommendations; and “might” to “Level C,” weak recommendations.

ANALYSIS OF EVIDENCE

For athletes what factors increase or decrease concussion risk?

Some athletes may be at greater risk of having a sports-related concussion (SRC) associated with different factors (e.g., age, gender, sport played, level of sport played, equipment used). Comparisons of the findings of such studies are difficult because of varying study populations,
case definitions, methods of case reporting, and methods of analysis. Accordingly, we considered for analysis only those studies that directly compared the concussion risk between two or more of these variables when equivalent study populations, definitions, and methods were employed. Our literature search screened 7381 abstracts, the articles for 400 of which underwent detailed methodological review. Of these 400 articles, 132 underwent full-text review and had pertinent evidence extracted. Appendix 6, Q1, contains data related to this question from 27 Class I and 5 Class II studies. Table 1 summarizes the concussion incidence in commonly played high school and collegiate sports.

**Age/level of competition.** Four Class I studies that examined CRs by age or competition level were reviewed. Because these two parameters co-vary closely (e.g., high school athletes typically are aged 14–18), we evaluated together studies that addressed either variable, noting that other variables (e.g., greater strength and weight of more-mature athletes) are also closely related to age and competition level.

Two studies compared CRs in collegiate versus high school athletes; one found higher CRs in collegiate athletes in each of 9 sports,\textsuperscript{e14} and the other found higher CRs in high school football athletes relative to collegiate athletes.\textsuperscript{e15} A third study involved middle and high school students engaged in taekwondo. The incidence of head blows and concussions was associated with young age and a lack of blocking skills, as calculated using a multinomial logistic model.\textsuperscript{e16} A fourth study, in ice hockey players, examined athletes in four age groups: 9–10 years, 11–12 years, 13–14 years, and 15–16 years.\textsuperscript{e17} When compared with those of the youngest age group, the relative
risks (RRs) of concussion for the older groups were significantly higher - 3.4 (11–12 years), 4.04 (13–14 years), and 3.41 (15–16 years).

**Conclusion.** There is insufficient evidence to determine whether age or level of competition affects concussion risk overall, as findings are not consistent across all studies or in all sports examined (inconsistent Class I studies). Because of the greater number of participants in sports at the high school level, the total number of concussions may be greater in that age group.

**Gender.** Some investigators have suggested that male athletes may be at greater concussion risk than female athletes because of males’ greater body weight, speed, and tendency to play sports faster and more forcefully.\textsuperscript{e18,e19} Other hypotheses put forth suggest that female athletes might be at greater risk because of their smaller physical size and weaker neck muscle strength.\textsuperscript{e18,e19} Available data suggest that gender differences vary by sport. Appendix 6, Q1, summarizes data from four Class I and three Class II studies.

In a study of high school and collegiate sports played by both genders, separate comparisons indicated that females had significantly higher CRs than males in the sports of soccer (RR 1.68, 95% confidence interval [CI] =1.08–2.60, \(p=0.03\), 183 total concussions) and basketball (RR 2.93, 95% CI 1.64–5.24, \(p<0.01\), 138 total concussions).\textsuperscript{e14} A similar study of 5 collegiate sports, which compared CRs of males and females, found significantly higher CRs in females playing soccer (\(X^2=12.99, p\leq0.05\)) and basketball (\(X^2=5.14, p\leq0.05\)); comparisons of CRs between genders were not significant in lacrosse, softball/baseball, or gymnastics.\textsuperscript{e20} A third study of high school varsity athletes found that among sports played by both genders, CRs were
somewhat higher among females for the sports of soccer and basketball, although the differences were nonsignificant.\textsuperscript{e21} The remaining Class I study reported on the sport of taekwondo and found a higher CR in males which was not significant.\textsuperscript{e16}

In a retrospective study of self-reported concussions and concussion symptoms among players of several collegiate sports,\textsuperscript{e18} females reported slightly higher numbers of recognized concussions per year (nonsignificant), and males more frequently reported events with symptoms consistent with unrecognized concussions, suggesting that concussions may be unreported in a higher proportion of males than females. Two other Class II studies found that the concussion incidence in females was higher, describing an RR of 2.4 in soccer\textsuperscript{e22} and an RR of 1.6 in lacrosse.\textsuperscript{e23}

Conclusions. Because of the greater number of male participants in sports studied, the total number of concussions is greater for males than females for all sports combined. However, the relationship of concussion risk and gender varies among sports. It is highly probable that concussion risk is greater for female athletes participating in soccer or basketball (3 studies, Class I).

Type of sport. Among the numerous studies that described concussion incidence among athletes playing a specific sport, we found eight Class I studies that directly compared concussion risk between two or more sports (appendix 6, Q1).

One study compared concussion risk among collegiate athletes competing in football, basketball, ice hockey, soccer, wrestling, volleyball, gymnastics, or baseball/softball. The highest degree of
risk was found for football, followed (in descending order) by hockey, wrestling, soccer, and lacrosse; volleyball and gymnastics had the lowest rates.\textsuperscript{c24} Appendix 6, Q1, lists relative CRs (as compared with those for soccer or football) for both genders for the more-common sports.

Another group of investigators published a study in five separate parts that for this review was considered one Class I study.\textsuperscript{e25–e30} The authors reported concussion incidence for collegiate athletes competing in football, basketball, women’s field hockey, and lacrosse. During competitions or games, the CRs were highest for football, followed (in descending order) by men’s lacrosse, women’s lacrosse, women’s field hockey, and basketball.

A third Class I study examined CRs among high school and collegiate athletes playing American football, basketball, soccer, wrestling, baseball, softball, and volleyball.\textsuperscript{e14} CRs were highest for football and soccer; appendix 6, Q1, lists relative CRs for some of these sports (at both the high school and collegiate levels and by gender). Another Class I study of high school varsity athletes found that among 10 sports studied, football accounted for most (63\%) of the mTBIs.\textsuperscript{e21} The sports with highest rates of mTBI occurrence per 1000 game exposures were football followed by soccer; those with the lowest mTBI occurrence rates were baseball/softball and volleyball. A fifth study described concussion occurrence among collegiate football, basketball, ice hockey, soccer, and lacrosse players. This study found higher CRs among men’s football and women’s soccer and hockey players, although the differences were nonsignificant.\textsuperscript{c30} CRs for some of these sports are tabulated in appendix 6, Q1. A sixth study compared pre–high school and high school Australian rugby and soccer players, finding that rugby union football was associated with a significantly higher injury rate than soccer.\textsuperscript{c31} A seventh study described sports concussion incidence from 2005 to 2009 in US high school male athletes in football, soccer,
basketball, wrestling, and softball, and in US high school female athletes in soccer, basketball, and softball. The study found the highest CRs in football (4.1/10,000 athletic exposures [AEs]), girls’ soccer (1.84/10,000 AEs), boys’ soccer (1.47/10,000 AEs), and girls’ basketball (1.19/10,000 AEs).\textsuperscript{32} A final study looked at sports concussion incidence prospectively from 1997 to 2007, again finding the highest CR in football (0.6/1000 AE) and the second-highest CR in girls’ soccer (0.35/1000 AEs).\textsuperscript{33}

**Conclusion.** For athletes it is highly likely that there is a greater concussion risk with American football and Australian rugby than with other sports analyzed here. Among the sports studied, it is highly likely that the risk is lowest for baseball, softball, volleyball, and gymnastics. For female athletes it is highly likely that soccer is the sport with the greatest concussion risk (multiple Class I studies).

**Equipment.** There were no studies of the extent of concussion risk reduction afforded by helmet use in American football, a reflection of the long-standing universal use of this equipment in organized competitions, which precludes comparative study with control groups not using helmets. There were no studies of soccer protective headgear that allowed quantification of concussion risk.

Mouth guards have been suggested to provide a protective effect against concussion by mitigating forces experienced by a blow to the jaw. There are three Class I studies addressing the use of headgear or mouth guards in reducing concussion, all focused on rugby. In one study, nonprofessional rugby players who reported always wearing headgear during games were 43%
less likely to sustain an mTBI relative to players who never wore headgear (incidence rate ratio [IRR] 0.57 95% CI 0.40–0.82, \( p=0.0024 \), multivariate analysis).\textsuperscript{e34} Univariate analysis of mouth guard use suggested a similar protective effect on concussion incidence; however, this effect was nonsignificant in the multivariate analysis. The second study, examining professional rugby players, found significantly reduced concussion risk among players using headgear but only nonsignificant concussion risk reduction among players using mouth guards (RR 0.69 95% CI 0.41–1.17).\textsuperscript{e35} The third study, in concussion risk among collegiate rugby players,\textsuperscript{e36} showed that mouth guards had no protective effect (RR 1.24 95% CI 0.45–3.43).

Conclusion. It is highly probable that headgear use has a protective effect on concussion incidence in rugby (two Class I studies). There is no compelling evidence that mouth guards protect athletes from concussion (three Class I studies). Data are insufficient to support or refute the efficacy of protective soccer headgear. Data are insufficient to support or refute the superiority of one type of football helmet over another in preventing concussions.

Position. Concussion risks may vary relative to positions played by athletes on competitive sports teams. These risks must be considered by individual sport.

For professional rugby, two Class I studies found inconsistent and nonsignificant differences in concussion incidence between players in forward and back positions.\textsuperscript{e35,e37} One Class II study\textsuperscript{e38} found a significantly greater concussion risk among players in forward positions.
For collegiate football, one Class I study found a weak association between position and concussion risk, with riskiest positions being (in descending order) linebacker (CR 0.99 95% CI 0.65–1.33), offensive lineman (CR 0.95 95% CI 0.66–1.24), defensive back (CR 0.88 95% CI 0.57–1.18), quarterback (CR 0.83 95% CI 0.34–1.31), tight end (CR 0.78 95% CI 0.30–1.26), special teams (CR 0.77 95% CI 0.27–1.28), defensive lineman (CR 0.76 95% CI 0.48–1.05), running back (CR 0.71 95% CI 0.38–1.04), and receiver (CR 0.54 95% CI 0.27–0.81). In the same study, a combined position category of “linebacker/receiver” was also reported (CR1.90 95% CI 1.0–3.4). A Class II football study provided data indicating greatest risk among defensive lineman and least risk among quarterbacks; the relative concussion risk between players of these positions was marginally significant.

For collegiate men’s ice hockey, one Class I study suggested a greater concussion risk among players in defensive or forward positions relative to that for goalies, but the results were nonsignificant. For collegiate men’s and women’s soccer, a Class II study found a modest, nonsignificant increased concussion risk among goalie and defensive positions.

**Conclusion.** Data are insufficient to characterize concussion risk by position in most major team sports. In collegiate football, concussion risk is probably greater among linebackers, offensive linemen, and defensive backs as compared with receivers (Class I and Class II studies).

**Body checking in ice hockey.** One Class I study investigated the relationship between body-checking experience in ice hockey and concussion incidence, finding a greater risk of SRC and a greater risk for severe SRC (time lost from playing ≥10 days) in peewee players in provinces.
where body checking was permitted (SRC IRR: 3.75, 95% CI 2.02–6.98; severe SRC IRR: 3.61, 95% CI 1.16–11.23).\textsuperscript{e42} This same study found that prior SRC was a risk factor for sustaining a subsequent SRC (2.14, 95% CI 1.28–3.55) and having a severe SRC (2.76, 95% CI 1.10–6.91).\textsuperscript{e42} This result was supported in a follow-up study of these players over a second consecutive year.\textsuperscript{e43}

Conclusion. Data suggest with moderate confidence that prior exposure to body checking is a risk factor for SRC in ice hockey (two related Class I studies).

**Athlete-related factors.** Athlete-specific characteristics, such as body mass index (BMI) and number of hours spent training, were investigated in one Class I study. Having a BMI greater than 27 (1.77, $p=0.007$) and training less than 3 hours per week (1.48, $p=0.03$) were both found to be related to a higher SRC risk in community rugby union athletes.\textsuperscript{e44}

Conclusion. Athlete-specific characteristics such as body mass index greater than 27 and time spent training less than 3 hours likely increase the risk of concussion (one Class I study).

**Cumulative impacts.** One Class I study investigated the association between the number of impacts experienced by high school American football athletes, as measured by in-helmet impact sensors, and concussion diagnosis. The authors found no association.\textsuperscript{e45}

Conclusion. Data are insufficient to characterize concussion risk by the cumulative number of impacts experienced during the course of an American football season in high school athletes.
**Team record.** In competitive youth ice hockey, team performance as measured by winning percentage was investigated as a risk factor for SRC in one Class I study. Whereas a winning record was associated with less injury in general, no effect was seen on SRC.\textsuperscript{e46}

**Conclusion.** Data are insufficient to characterize SRC risk by team performance as measured by winning percentage (one Class I study).

For athletes suspected of having sustained concussion, what diagnostic tools are useful in identifying those with concussion? For athletes suspected of having sustained concussion, what diagnostic tools are useful in identifying those at increased risk for severe or prolonged early impairments, neurologic catastrophe, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment?

Our literature search screened 1703 abstracts from which 409 were selected and the full-text articles obtained for detailed methodologic review. Of these 409 studies, 195 full-text publications were classified by evidence level. For this question, to maximize clinical utility we categorized the evidence for each tool as follows: diagnosis of concussion, severe or prolonged early postconcussion impairments, neurologic catastrophe, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment.

The vast majority of these studies involved high school or collegiate athletes. No studies specifically looking at age groups below high school age were found.
**Q2a: For athletes suspected of having sustained concussion, what diagnostic tools are useful in identifying those with concussion?**

Appendix 6, Q2, lists the studies of tools relevant to the diagnosis of concussion. Whereas the reference standard by which these studies were conducted was a concussion diagnosed by a clinician (physician or certified athletic trainer), it should be noted that no studies were found that explicitly examined interrater reliability. The 1997 AAN concussion definition\(^e_{10}\) was the most commonly utilized definition for the purposes of these studies (see appendix 1).

All studies employed a case-control design specifically including athletes on the basis of the presence or absence of concussion. Many of the studies also obtained baseline testing on a large cohort of athletes prior to concussion (i.e., a nested case-control design). All studies compared the performance on the putative diagnostic tool of athletes with concussion to that of athletes without concussion. For many studies it was not possible to determine whether the clinician diagnosis of concussion (the usual reference standard) was influenced by knowledge of the result of the putative diagnostic test. Thus, some degree of incorporation bias may have affected the results. All but one study failed to include athletes for whom there was the potential of diagnostic uncertainty relative to the presence of concussion—that is, the studies examined here included only athletes in whom concussion was definitely diagnosed by the reference standard and athletes in whom there was no suspicion of concussion. This introduced potential spectrum bias and led to the majority of these studies being rated Class III relative to the diagnostic accuracy question. One study reduced the potential of spectrum bias by including athletes without concussion who had musculoskeletal injuries.\(^e_{47}\) This study was rated Class II.
Post-Concussion Symptom Scale or Graded Symptom Checklist. Nine Class III studies utilized either a Post-Concussion Symptom Scale (PCSS) or Graded Symptom Checklist (GSC) to assist in concussion diagnosis (appendix 6, Q2). These tools may be administered by a nonphysician or by self-report. Most studies\textsuperscript{e48–e54} involved a 14- to 22-item scale, with a 7-point scale to self-report symptom severity (“0” served as an anchor representing not present; “6” represented worst experienced). The aggregate score of all possible symptoms was recorded and compared with baseline measures or noninjured matched control subjects, or both. In one study, symptom duration was factored in to the scoring,\textsuperscript{e55} and in another study the number of symptoms endorsed was the variable of interest.\textsuperscript{e56}

Clinical diagnosis by a physician or athletic trainer was generally the reference standard by which these scales were compared. Two studies reported on the same cohort of athletes with concussion,\textsuperscript{e48,e49} reporting a symptom score increase of 21 points (95% CI 16–26) acutely following concussion, with a sensitivity of 0.89 and specificity of 1.00 within 3 hours postinjury. The other study showed an overall highly significant increase in symptoms (approximately 20 points, with standard errors ± 4) in the concussed group versus in controls ($p=0.001$).\textsuperscript{e55} The remaining studies reported similar findings of elevated scores postinjury, with one study\textsuperscript{e54} also reporting sensitivity of 0.64 and specificity of 0.91.

**Conclusion.** With the reference standard being clinician diagnosis of concussion, it is likely that a GSC or PCSS will identify concussion in the proper clinical context with moderate diagnostic accuracy (sensitivity 64%–89%, specificity 91%–100%) (multiple Class III studies). Proper
clinical context refers to use of these tools in athletes after an observed or suspected event during which biomechanical forces were imparted to the head. It should be emphasized that the sensitivity of these symptom checklist tools is insufficient to rule out a suspected concussion, as an important proportion of athletes with concussion (11%–36%) will perform normally on these tests.

Standardized Assessment of Concussion. The Standardized Assessment of Concussion (SAC) is an instrument designed for 6-minute administration to assess four neurocognitive domains—Orientation, Immediate Memory, Concentration, and Delayed Recall. SAC scores are considered sensitive to change when the instrument is administered following a concussion. The SAC is designed for use by nonphysicians on the sidelines of an athletic event, although physicians or neuropsychologists may use the instrument. Whereas it offers a quantifiable measure that is feasible for rapid, sideline evaluation and also may be used for follow-up, the SAC is not intended as a substitute for more thorough medical, neurologic, or neuropsychological evaluation. Three alternate forms were designed to allow follow-up testing with minimal practice effects in order to track postconcussion recovery. Appendix 6, Q2, summarizes 7 studies—examining the use of the SAC as a diagnostic tool to identify the presence of a concussion. Four studies demonstrate relatively high sensitivity (0.80–0.94) and specificity (0.76–0.91) with the SAC when the test is used on the sideline after suspected injury.

Conclusion. The current evidence supports the use of the SAC as a diagnostic tool that is likely to identify the presence of concussion in the early stages postinjury (sensitivity 80%–94%, specificity 76%–91%) (multiple Class III studies). The moderate to high sensitivity and
specificity reported support this conclusion, including the elevated postinjury score (best within 48 hours postinjury) relative to baseline rates and rates of control subjects. It is emphasized that an important proportion of athletes with concussion (6%–20%) will not be identified by the SAC as having concussion.

Neuropsychological testing. Appendix 6, Q2, summarizes 33 studies—1 Class II and 32 Class III—examining the use of neuropsychological testing as a diagnostic tool to identify the presence of a concussion. All studies employed a case-control design comparing athletes who were concussed with athletes who were not concussed. Some studies compared performance with baseline (nested case-control design).

The test measures are divided into two types on the basis of their method of administration: paper-and-pencil and computer. Study outcomes/effects were categorized as follows for identifying the presence of concussion: provision of sensitivity/specificity classification rates, detection of concussion versus no-concussion group differences, and detection of change in neuropsychological performance over the course of recovery. The single Class II study compared the performance of athletes with concussion on the Automated Neuropsychological Assessment Metrics (ANAM) test battery with the performance of athletes without injuries and athletes with musculoskeletal injuries. The concussed group performed significantly worse than the noninjured group on several subtests of the ANAM. The group with musculoskeletal injuries performed intermediately between the concussed group and noninjured group.
Examples of Class III studies as regards paper-and-pencil administration include McCrea et al., who report sensitivity of 0.88 and specificity of 0.93 at day 7 postinjury. Examples of Class III studies as regards computer-based administration include Erlanger et al., Collie et al., and Broglio et al., who report significant differences in memory and response speed between athletes with concussion and controls without concussion. Macciocchi et al., Collins et al., and Echemendia et al. use paper-and-pencil tests, whereas Parker et al. and Broglio et al. use computer-administered tests in demonstrating sensitivity to cognitive recovery over time with serial neuropsychological assessment designs. Notably, no study examined outcomes in preadolescent athletes or girls’ sports exclusively.

**Conclusion.** When the reference standard of clinician diagnosis of concussion is used, it is likely that neuropsychological testing of memory performance, reaction time, and speed of cognitive processing, regardless of whether administered by paper-and-pencil or computerized method, is useful in identifying the presence of concussion (sensitivity 71%–88% of athletes with concussion) (one Class II study, multiple Class III studies). Twelve percent to 29% of athletes with concussion will not be identified as having concussion by neuropsychological testing.

There is insufficient evidence to support conclusions about the use of neuropsychological testing in identifying concussion in preadolescent age groups. It is important for the practitioner to understand the utility and limitations of different neurocognitive test batteries and the proper clinical context in which they may be used most effectively. There were no studies directly comparing different brands of computerized neurocognitive testing and thus no evidence to support one particular computerized test over another.
Balance Error Scoring System. The Balance Error Scoring System (BESS) is a clinical balance battery that involves use of 3 stances (double leg, single leg, tandem) on 2 surfaces (firm, foam) and is designed to evaluate postural stability. Four Class III studies\textsuperscript{e48,e49,e70,e71} utilized the BESS to assist in the diagnosis of concussion (appendix 6, Q2). In these studies the BESS was utilized to confirm the physician diagnosis at the time of injury or within 24 hours following the injury—often in combination with other assessment tools (see “Diagnostic measures used in combination” section). For identifying the presence of concussion, the studies consistently demonstrated elevated BESS scores (i.e., worse balance performance) at the time of injury and within the initial 24 hours postinjury relative to the athletes’ individualized baseline scores or those of matched control subjects, or both.

One study\textsuperscript{e49} reported that BESS scores in college football players with concussion changed from baseline by approximately 6 points when the athletes were measured at the time of injury. At one day postinjury, each player’s average BESS score was approximately 3 points greater than baseline. For most athletes, BESS performance returned to preseason baseline levels (average 12 errors) by 3–7 days postinjury. These modest changes in BESS performance, as well as rapid recovery of static balance, have been reported in other studies of athletes. Another study of collegiate football players\textsuperscript{e48} reported BESS scores signaling impairment in 36% of injured subjects immediately following concussion, relative to 5% in the control group. Twenty-four percent of injured subjects demonstrated impairment when retested with the BESS at 2 days postinjury, relative to 9% by day 7 postinjury. Sensitivity values for the BESS were highest at
the time of injury (sensitivity = 0.34). Specificity values for this instrument ranged from 0.91–0.96 across postinjury days (PIDs) 1–7.

Guskiewicz et al.\textsuperscript{e70} and Riemann et al.\textsuperscript{e71} identified differences on the BESS in collegiate athletes when compared with age- and sport-matched control subjects. Differences ranged between 6–9 BESS points when athletes with concussion were compared with athletes without concussion. Deficits relative to baseline typically recover within 3–5 days postinjury.\textsuperscript{e49,e70} Although quite specific, the BESS is not highly sensitive in detecting concussion. However, the sensitivity is increased when the BESS is used in combination with a graded symptom checklist and the SAC.\textsuperscript{e48}

**Conclusion.** When the reference standard of clinician diagnosis of concussion is used, the BESS assessment tool is likely to identify concussion with low to moderate diagnostic accuracy (sensitivity 34%–64%, specificity 91%) (multiple Class III studies). The BESS alone is not likely to identify a high proportion of athletes with concussion (false-negative rates 36%–66%).

**Sensory Organization Test.** The Sensory Organization Test (SOT) uses a force plate to measure a subject’s ability to maintain equilibrium while it systematically alters orientation information available to the somatosensory or visual inputs (or both). Seven studies utilized the SOT to assist in the diagnosis of concussion. Appendix 6, Q2, summarizes the studies—all Class III—examining the use of the SOT to identify both the presence of a concussion and concussion-related complications (more-prolonged recovery/impairment).\textsuperscript{\textsc{e}55,\textsc{e}64,\textsc{e}70–\textsc{e}74} In all cases the SOT was utilized to confirm the physician diagnosis at the time of the injury or within 24 hours.
postinjury—often in combination with other assessment tools (see “Diagnostic measures used in combination” section). For identifying the presence of concussion the studies consistently demonstrated lower SOT scores within 24 hours postinjury ranging between 8–12 points relative to individualized baseline rates or those of matched control subjects.

The SOT has been shown to be sensitive to detect concussion and especially to detect sensory interaction and balance deficits following concussion. As with the studies involving the BESS, studies utilizing the SOT have identified deficits on average about 3 days postinjury. One study reported sensitivity of 0.61 for the SOT, and a later study by the same group reported sensitivity of 0.57 and specificity of 0.80 (at a 75% CI). A study comparing athletes with concussion and healthy controls reported no differences in center of pressure (COP) displacement amplitude but reported a difference in the pattern of COP oscillations, using approximate entropy techniques.

**Conclusion.** When the reference standard of clinician diagnosis of concussion is used, the SOT assessment tool is likely to identify concussion with low to moderate diagnostic accuracy (sensitivity 48%–61%, specificity 85%–90%) (multiple Class III studies). The SOT alone is not likely to identify a high proportion of athletes with concussion (39%–52%).

**King-Devick test.** The King-Devick test is a quick and relatively simple test that measures timed reading of a series of irregularly spaced numbers on a handheld card. One Class III study showed that times increased significantly immediately after a match both in boxers and in mixed martial
arts fighters who sustained head trauma. Use of the test to predict clinically diagnosed SRC was not reported.

**Conclusion.** Data are insufficient to support or refute the use of the King-Devick test for diagnosis of SRC.

**Gait stability/dual tasking.** Gait stability/dual tasking involves analysis of gait (using reflective markers on the extremities captured by a multicamera array) while the subject simultaneously completes simple mental tasks. Seven Class III studies utilized the gait stability test or a dual (virtual reality) task to assist in identifying concussion (appendix 6, Q2). These relatively new concussion assessment tools measure concurrent performance of motor and cognitive tasks. Several studies have identified slowed gait or altered weight distribution (13%–26% center of mass deviation) during gait stability testing using single task and dual tasks (cognitive and motor). Significant differences in results on the gait tests have been noted in the dual-task gait assessment at day 28 postinjury, although not in the single-task or neuropsychological assessment. Two studies using divided-attention tasks in virtual environments identified subtle abnormalities in balance and moderate residual visual–motor disintegration in a small sample of patients with concussion. None of these studies reported sensitivity or specificity.

**Conclusion.** When the reference standard of clinician diagnosis of concussion is used, gait stability assessment and dual-task testing in virtual environments are possibly useful for identifying concussion (multiple Class III studies).
Imaging and electrophysiology. Twelve Class III articles used various MRI techniques to compare abnormalities in athletes who have concussion with athletes who are not injured. The techniques studied include diffusion tensor imaging, spectroscopy, and functional MRI. Four Class III studies compared electrophysiological parameters, including QEEG, motor evoked potentials, event-related potentials, and EEG Shannon entropy, between athletes with concussion and noninjured controls. The majority of imaging and electrophysiology studies demonstrated subtle and significant differences between athletes with concussion and athletes without concussion (appendix 6, Q2).

Conclusion. When the reference standard of clinician diagnosis of concussion is used, specialized imaging and electrophysiologic techniques are possibly useful in identifying athletes with concussion (multiple Class III studies).

Diagnostic measures used in combination. Eight Class III studies (appendix 6, Q2) have examined the contribution of multiple diagnostic methods (e.g., symptom report, neuropsychological testing, balance assessment) to the prediction of concussion diagnosis. Three studies examined the sensitivity and specificity of a multimodal assessment battery, reporting on the improvement in classification rates with this approach. Several studies examined the contribution of neuropsychological testing, symptom report, and balance assessment, reporting on the contribution of each method independently to the diagnostic prediction of concussion and to length of recovery. For example, Van Kampen et al. reported sensitivity of the PCSS to be 64% in identifying athletes with concussion, whereas the combination of neurocognitive testing using Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing (ImPACT) with the PCSS
increased sensitivity to 83%. McCrea et al.\textsuperscript{e48,e49} exemplifies studies that demonstrate the contribution of each separate diagnostic measure in discriminating diagnostic groups and tracking recovery with the BESS, SAC, and paper-and-pencil neuropsychological testing.

\textit{Conclusion.} A combination of diagnostic tests as compared with individual tests is likely to improve diagnostic accuracy of concussion (multiple Class III studies). Currently, however, there is insufficient evidence to determine the best combination of specific measures to improve identification of concussion.

\textbf{Q2b. For athletes suspected of having sustained concussion, what diagnostic tools are useful in identifying those at increased risk for severe or prolonged early impairments, neurologic catastrophe, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment?}

In addition to use for confirmation of the presence of concussion, diagnostic tools may potentially be used to identify athletes with severe or prolonged concussion-related early impairments, sports-related neurologic catastrophes (e.g., subdural hematoma), or chronic neurobehavioral impairments. No studies were found relevant to prediction of sports-related neurologic catastrophe or chronic neurobehavioral impairment. Studies relevant to the identification of concussion-related early impairments are summarized in appendix 6, Q2.

\textit{Postconcussion Symptom Scale or Graded Symptom Checklist.} Appendix 6, Q2, also summarizes the use of symptom scales to identify greater postconcussion impairments (6 studies: 1 Class I,\textsuperscript{e63} 2 Class II,\textsuperscript{e56,e98} 3 Class III\textsuperscript{e51–e53}) in athletes. For identifying concussion-related impairments, one
Class I study\textsuperscript{63} reported correlations between elevated symptom scores and persistent cognitive deficits. One Class II study\textsuperscript{98} showed that postconcussive headache symptoms were associated with worse computerized cognitive test performance on PID 7. Lavoie et al.\textsuperscript{56} demonstrated impaired reaction time and attention on a modified visual oddball paradigm in athletes with symptomatic concussion relative to that of controls or athletes with asymptomatic concussion. Three Class III studies\textsuperscript{51-53} utilized the PCSS or GSC to identify associations between elevated symptoms and LOC at the time of injury and persistent headaches or protracted recovery in athletes.

**Conclusion.** It is likely that elevated postconcussive symptoms are associated with more-severe or prolonged early postconcussive cognitive impairments (6 studies: 1 Class I, 2 Class II, 3 Class III).

**Standardized Assessment of Concussion.** Two Class I studies\textsuperscript{48,49} demonstrated that the SAC detects significant differences between athletes with concussion relative to their baseline function and relative to the baseline function of noninjured controls.\textsuperscript{50} These differences were most notable within 48 hours postinjury.

**Conclusion.** It is likely that lower SAC scores are associated with more-severe or prolonged early postconcussive impairments (2 Class I studies).

**Neuropsychological testing.** Six studies were found that examined identification of prolonged concussion-related impairments. One Class I study\textsuperscript{99} and 1 Class II study\textsuperscript{100} used paper-and-
pencil testing. Two Class I\textsuperscript{e67,e94} and 2 Class II\textsuperscript{e51,e95} studies reported on computerized neuropsychological testing. A Class II study\textsuperscript{e100} reported that paper-and-pencil neuropsychological testing (Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status) demonstrates subclinical cognitive impairment in athletes, whereas another Class II study\textsuperscript{e95} found that a slower recovery course was 18x more likely with three low scores on ImPACT, a computerized neuropsychological test.

**Conclusion.** It is likely that neuropsychological testing predicts delayed postconcussion recovery (three Class I and three Class II studies).

*Balance Error Scoring System.* One Class I study identified a prolonged recovery curve for BESS, with increased error scores seen as late as 10 days postconcussion\textsuperscript{e49} in a subset of individuals.

**Conclusion.** It is possible that BESS identifies athletes with early postconcussion impairments (one Class I study).

*Sensory Organization Test.* One Class I\textsuperscript{e55} study and one Class II\textsuperscript{e72} study identified a prolonged recovery curve for SOT, with increased error scores seen as late as 10 days postconcussion in small subsets of individuals.

**Conclusion.** It is likely that SOT identifies athletes with early postconcussion impairments (one Class I study, one Class II study).
Gait stability/dual tasking. Two studies identified prolonged recovery after concussion as measured using gait testing (Class I\textsuperscript{68}) and divided-attention (Class III\textsuperscript{80}) tasks but were limited by very small sample sizes.

**Conclusion.** It is possible that gait stability dual tasking testing identifies athletes with early postconcussion impairments (one Class I study, one Class III study).

Diagnostic measures used in combination. Nine studies (5 Class I, 3 Class II, and 1 Class III) analyzed the use of combined measures for predicting early postconcussion impairments (appendix 6, Q2). With respect to predicting length of recovery, Lau et al.,\textsuperscript{51} using discriminant function analysis, report the classification rates of short or long recovery using neurocognitive testing and symptom assessment separately (PCSS 63.21%, 4 ImPACT composites 65.38%) and in combination (73.53%). Iverson\textsuperscript{95} reported that athletes with complex concussions (recovery time >10 days) performed more poorly on neuropsychological testing and reported more symptoms than those with simple concussions (recovery time < 10 days).

**Conclusion.** A combination of diagnostic tests as compared with individual tests is highly likely to improve the prediction of length of recovery (9 studies: 5 Class I, 3 Class II, and 1 Class III). At the time of this writing, however, there is insufficient evidence to determine the best combination of specific measures to improve prediction of prolonged recovery.
Clinical context: Most studies support the use of some tools (PCSS, GSC) for tracking recovery beyond the initial assessment and utilizing the scores for a more-informed RTP decision. However, analysis of the data supporting such use is beyond the scope of this question.

For athletes with concussion, what clinical factors are useful in identifying those at increased risk for severe or prolonged early postconcussion impairments, neurologic catastrophe, recurrent concussions, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment?

For this question, we grouped the evidence by the following 4 risk factor types to maximize clinical utility: severe or prolonged early postconcussion impairments, neurologic catastrophe, recurrent concussions and chronic neurobehavioral impairment. Our literature search screened 3523 abstracts, the articles for 769 of which were reviewed in detail. Of these 769 articles, 235 publications were reviewed and pertinent evidence extracted. We found 28 Class I, 25 Class II, and 16 Class III studies to be relevant (appendix 6, Q3).

Severe or prolonged early postconcussion impairments. We found 32 studies relevant to predictors of more-severe or prolonged early postconcussion symptoms, some of which also measured cognitive impairment; of these there were 15 Class I, 8 Class II, and 9 Class III studies (appendix 6, Q3). The vast majority of these studies were of high school and collegiate athletes, although one Class I study evaluated peewee ice hockey players (aged 11–12 years) and reported an annual IRR of 2.76 (95% CIs 1.1–6.9) for severe concussion (>10 playing days lost) in those with a previous history of any concussion relative to those with no prior concussions.
**Acute symptoms.** Fifteen studies addressed whether very early concussion symptoms could help predict the severity or duration of postconcussive impairments (4 Class I, 6 Class II, and 5 Class III studies).

One study of Australian-rules footballers tested within 11 days after a concussion and when still symptomatic relative to their individual baselines on a computerized cognitive battery (CogSport) showed significantly slowed reaction times and no improvement on the Digit Symbol Substitution Task or Trails B relative to players with concussion who were asymptomatic at testing time. This study was limited in that it did not indicate the specific times postconcussion when the tests were administered. Furthermore, the concussed group that was symptomatic at testing also had a significantly greater number of symptoms at the time of injury than the asymptomatic group (4.9±2.0 versus 3.3±1.1, \(p=0.002\)), tended to have more prior concussions (3.1 versus 2.4, \(p=0.12\)) and had a higher percentage who lost consciousness at the time of injury (32% versus 19.4%, \(p=0.27\)). Nonetheless, this study supports the position that clinical symptoms are associated with objective measures of cognitive impairment. Another Class I study prospectively followed National Hockey League players, measuring 559 concussions over 7 seasons. Significant predictors for time lost from playing include postconcussive headache (\(p<0.001\)), fatigue (\(p=0.01\)), amnesia (\(p=0.02\)), and an abnormal neurologic examination (\(p=0.01\)). Risk factors for missing >10 days of playing time were headache (odds ratio [OR] 2.17 [95% CI 1.33–3.54]) and fatigue (OR 1.72 [95% CI 1.04–2.85]). The third Class I study showed no significant effect of LOC (\(p=0.09\)) or amnesia (\(p=0.13\)) on predicting prolonged recovery. The final Class I study looked at biomechanical predictors of worse early concussion impairments in high school football players using the Head Impact
Telemetry System (HITS). Parameters studied include time from session start until injury, time from previous impact, peak linear acceleration, peak rotational acceleration, and HIT severity profile. Nineteen players sustained 20 SRCs over 4 years; however, none of the biomechanical parameters measured showed an association with postconcussion symptoms or cognitive dysfunction.

Six studies also demonstrated a relationship between specific acute symptoms or early cognitive test results and greater “severity” of concussion as determined by longer duration of symptoms or cognitive impairment (or both) or delayed RTP. One study showed that athletes complaining of headaches 7 days postinjury were more likely to have had on-field anterograde amnesia (OR 2.67, 95% CI 1.03–6.92), 3 or 4 abnormal on-field markers (LOC, retrograde amnesia [RGA], posttraumatic [anterograde] amnesia [PTA], disorientation; OR 4.07, 95% CI 1.25–13.23) or >5 minutes of mental status change (OR 4.89, 95% CI 1.74–13.78). Furthermore, relative to athletes with concussion who had no headaches at PID 7, those with headaches showed significant impairments in reaction time and memory scores (ImPACT) and a greater number of total symptoms.

A separate article from the same center studied 108 high school football players and divided them into 2 groups on the basis of whether they met any of the following four criteria for “complex” concussion: concussive convulsion, LOC >1 min, history of multiple prior concussions, or nonrecovery by 10 days postinjury. Those individuals meeting at least one of the four criteria were classified as having complex concussions; those meeting none of the criteria were considered as having simple concussions. Standardized symptom scores and computerized
cognitive tests (ImPACT) were obtained on average 2.2 days postinjury. Significant differences between simple and complex concussions were found in symptom clusters related to migraine ($p=0.001$), cognitive function ($p=0.02$), and sleep disturbances ($p=0.01$), and for cognitive measures of impaired visual memory ($p=0.01$) and prolonged processing speed ($p=0.007$). No significant differences between groups were detected for the neuropsychiatric symptom cluster, verbal memory, or reaction time.$^{e51}$

The third Class II study used medical clearance for RTP in Australian-rules footballers as a concussion severity marker.$^{e103}$ With use of a Cox proportional hazard model, symptoms associated with prolonged RTP include headache >60 hours, fatigue/tiredness, “fogginess,” or >3 symptoms at initial presentation. Headache <24 hours was associated with a more-rapid RTP. In this study, deficits on paper-and-pencil cognitive testing (Digit Symbol Substitution Test and Trails B) paralleled symptom recovery. Deficits on a computerized test battery (CogSport) showed a 2- to 3-day recovery lag, which was interpreted as being a more-sensitive indicator of incomplete recovery. This study was limited in that the cognitive test results were available to the team physicians and potentially could influence the outcome measure (RTP).

Another Class II study was a preliminary report$^{e104}$ using methodology similar to that reported by Collins and colleagues$^{e98}$; however, subjects were stratified not by headache symptoms but rather by the presence or absence of “fogginess” as part of standardized symptom and computerized cognitive assessment testing (ImPACT) conducted on average 6.8 days postinjury. Significant differences in total symptom scores (foggy 35.5±22.9 versus not foggy 3.7±6.5, $p<0.0001$), composite reaction time ($p<0.002$), composite processing speed ($p<0.004$), and composite
memory ($p<0.01$) were detected. Effect sizes in this study were notably greater than in the earlier study of postconcussive headache. In a Class II study of 247 high school and collegiate athletes, those with baseline headache were more likely to have suffered $\geq 3$ prior concussions and to endorse postconcussive symptoms. The presence and severity of posttraumatic headache were associated with a greater number of posttraumatic symptoms ($p<0.02$), decreased balance scores ($p=0.05$), and reduced cognitive test scores on PID 1 ($p<0.05$). When a numerical threshold approach was used, migraine symptoms, cognitive symptoms, visual memory, and processing speed could be used to distinguish between short ($\leq 14$ days) and long ($>14$ days) recovery. However, in this study, over one-third of the athletes (69/177) did not have follow-up. Furthermore, even when thresholds with 80% sensitivity were used, none of the parameters just mentioned showed a specificity greater than 16%.

Prior traumatic brain injury/concussion. Six studies reported the relationship of prior TBI/concussions on the severity or duration of postconcussion recovery (4 Class I studies, 2 Class III studies). In professional hockey players, time missed from play increased by 2.25x (95% CI 1.41–3.62) for each recurrent concussion. In junior hockey players, a history of prior concussions carried a greater risk (IRR=2.76 [95% CI 1.10–6.91]) for a concussion that took $>10$ days for recovery. Duration of recovery was related to the number of prior concussions ($p=0.03$) in collegiate football players. Number of symptoms was associated with prior concussion. These 4 studies are Class I. One Class III study showed higher rates of early symptoms (LOC, PTA, confusion, 5+ minutes of mental status change) in athletes with a history of 3+ concussions when compared with those with no concussion history.
**Gender.** Five Class I studies investigated gender differences in the severity of early postconcussion symptoms and neurocognitive testing, with inconsistent results. Females had worse reaction times (Concussion Resolution Index, ImPACT) and worse visual memory on computerized testing (ImPACT). These studies had conflicting data on the severity of postconcussive symptoms, with females having more symptoms in 2 of the 3 studies just mentioned. In the third study males reported more symptoms of sadness and vomiting than females. In a study of symptoms, males endorsed more amnesia and confusion, whereas females reported drowsiness and phonophobia more often. Finally, no significant gender difference was reported in postconcussive depression.

**Age/Level of play.** Two Class I studies described an association between younger age/lower level of play and greater severity or duration of postconcussive symptoms or cognitive impairment. In a comparison of high school athletes and collegiate athletes, the former had symptoms and cognitive impairments of longer duration. In addition, cognitive impairments were still detectable by PID 7, a point at which postconcussive symptoms had resolved. A second study compared postconcussive performance using computerized cognitive testing (ImPACT) between National Football League (NFL) and high school football players. Whereas the assessment times were not matched (NFL athletes were tested generally 1–3 days earlier postinjury than high school athletes), high school athletes showed greater cognitive deficits at follow-up 1 and tended to have lower scores at follow-up 2, although there were no significant differences at time 2.

**Sport-related factors.** Body checking was associated with an increased risk for severe concussion in a Class I study of peewee ice hockey. A higher rate of Cantu grade II concussions was
reported in a Class I study of injuries sustained on artificial turf (22%) relative to those sustained on natural grass (9%).

In professional football, quarterbacks were more likely to sustain a “severe” concussion, resulting in >7 days out of play (Class III study). Another Class III study of ice hockey showed less time lost postconcussion in players wearing full-face shields rather than half-face shields.

**Athlete-related factors.** Biomechanical measures were obtained from helmet-based accelerometers in a Class I study and found not to be predictive of suffering an SRC nor of having more-severe symptoms when an SRC is experienced. A Class II study reported that athletes with preexisting headaches had more symptoms and lower neurocognitive scores (ANAM) after concussions.

**Conclusions.** It is highly probable that ongoing clinical symptoms are associated with persistent neurocognitive impairments demonstrated on objective testing (1 Class I study, 2 Class II studies). There is also a high likelihood that history of concussion (4 Class I studies, 2 Class III studies) is associated with more-severe/longer duration of symptoms and cognitive deficits. Probable risk factors for persistent neurocognitive problems or prolonged RTP include early posttraumatic headache (1 Class I study, 5 Class II studies); fatigue/fogginess (1 Class I study, 2 Class II studies); and early amnesia, alteration in mental status, or disorientation (1 Class I study, 1 Class II study, 2 Class III studies). It is also probable that younger age/level of play (2 Class
Ie99,e113) is a risk factor for prolonged recovery. In peewee hockey, body checking is likely to be a risk factor for more-severe concussions as measured by prolonged RTP (1 Class I study e42). Possible risk factors for persistent neurocognitive problems include prior history of headaches (1 Class II study e105). Possible risk factors for more-prolonged RTP include having symptoms of dizziness (1 Class III study e116), playing the quarterback position in football (1 Class III study e114), and wearing a half-face shield in hockey (relative to wearing full-face shields, 1 Class III study e115). In football, playing on artificial turf is possibly a risk factor for more-severe concussions (1 Class I study e15 but small numbers of repeat concussions). There is conflicting evidence as to whether female gender or male gender is a risk factor for more postconcussive symptoms, so no conclusion could be drawn.

Neurologic catastrophe. We found no studies that measured the incidence or risk of severe TBI or intracranial complications after SRCs. Evidence pertaining to second-impact syndrome is limited to case reports or series (Class IV e117) and is excluded in accordance with AAN criteria. There is some controversy regarding the existence of this syndrome e118,e119

Conclusion. Data are insufficient to identify specific risk factors for catastrophic outcome after SRCs were found (although studies exist for mTBI in general).

Recurrent concussions. Ten studies were identified that had relevance to risk factors for recurrent concussion. Eight are Class I, e15,e34,e39,e40,e42, e66,e120,e121 one is Class II, e122 and one is Class III. e123
Prior concussion. Six Class I studies\textsuperscript{e15,e34,e39,e40,e42,e120} and one Class II study\textsuperscript{e122} reported prior concussion as a risk factor for recurrent concussion (appendix 6, Q3). Emery et al.\textsuperscript{e76} showed a concussion IRR (relative rate) of 2.14 (95% CI 1.28–3.55) for peewee ice hockey players with a history of concussion relative to those with no prior concussion. A prior concussion was associated with a 1.6x–3x increased risk of concussion in multiple studies.\textsuperscript{e15,e34,e40,e120} One study (Class I) showed a “dose response” (risk of recurrence increases with number of concussions: after one concussion 1.5x, two concussions 2.8x, and three or more prior concussions 3.4x),\textsuperscript{e39} whereas another (Class I) did not.\textsuperscript{e120} Another study (Class II) of sport-related head injuries presenting to an emergency department reported a hazard ratio of 2.6x (95% CI 2.2–3.1) after one head injury and 5.9x (95% CI 3.4–10.3) after 2 head injuries.\textsuperscript{e122}

Athlete-related factors. A relationship between total years participating in football and total number of concussions was reported in high school players ($r=0.15$, $p<0.02$; Class I\textsuperscript{e66}). In this study of high school players, quarterbacks and tight ends had the highest rates of prior concussion, and running backs and kickers had the lowest rates. In a different study of professional football players, quarterbacks were at greatest risk (OR=1.92, 95% CI 0.99–3.74, $p<0.1$), and offensive linemen were at the least risk (OR=0.54, 95% CI 0.27–1.08, $p<0.1$) for repeat concussions, although neither of these positional analyses achieved significance (Class III\textsuperscript{e123}).

Time since previous concussion. In a study determining whether a symptom-free waiting period (SFWP) after concussion affected outcome, almost 80% of repeat concussions occurred within 10 days of the initial injury (Class I).\textsuperscript{e121} Curiously, although the rate of repeat concussion was
higher in the SFWP group than in the non-SFWP group, those in the SFWP group with repeat injuries returned to play 3.55 days sooner ($p<0.05$) than those with no repeat concussions. A separate study of college athletes found 92% of repeat concussions occurred within 10 days after the first concussion (Class I). Both studies had relatively small numbers of repeat concussions (24 and 12, respectively), but the timing results were consistent.

**Conclusions.** A history of concussion is a highly probable risk factor for recurrent concussion (6 Class I studies, 1 Class II study). It is also highly likely that there is an increased risk for repeat concussion in the first 10 days after an initial concussion (2 Class I studies), an observation supported by pathophysiologic studies. Probable risk factors for recurrent concussion include longer length of participation (one Class I study) and quarterback position played in football (one Class I study, one Class III study).

**Chronic neurobehavioral impairment.** Thirty-four studies (11 Class I, 16 Class II, 7 Class III) investigated risk factors for chronic neurobehavioral impairment (appendix 6, Q3). Nine studies include professional athletes, and 23 studies include amateur athletes. One study includes both professional and amateur athletes, and one study of soccer players did not specify the level of play. Evidence related specifically to chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) was limited to case reports and series (Class IV) and did not meet AAN criteria for evidence-based recommendations. This level of evidence does not permit identification of incidence rates or risk factors.
Prior concussion in professional athletes. There were 10 studies (2 Class I, 7 Class II, 1 Class III) that used various forms of neuropsychological testing in professional athletes to examine the relationship between prior TBI and the development of chronic impairments. Studies in football players, boxers, soccer players, and licensed jockeys described an increased risk of chronic neurocognitive impairments with a greater exposure to prior concussions. Because history of TBI/concussion was generally diagnosed retrospectively, these studies do not specify how the prior concussions were managed.

Both studies reported associations between prior concussion/exposure and neurocognitive impairment (1 study in rugby players showed chronic impairments as compared with noncontact athletes). A Class I study of jockeys found chronic neurocognitive deficits in those with a history of concussion and a relationship between multiple concussions and greater impairments.

Seven Class II studies detected an association between prior TBI and chronic neurobehavioral deficits; only one study did not show that result. A study of 30 professional boxers (Jordan et al.) reported an association between apoE4 genotype, high exposure to TBI (>12 professional bouts), and a clinical diagnosis of chronic TBI ($p<0.001$, Class II). ApoE4 genotype, particularly in conjunction with increasing age (as a surrogate for exposure to repeated mTBI), was also associated with greater cognitive impairment in professional football players (Class II). From results of a retrospective health questionnaire obtained from 2552 retired professional football athletes, an association was found between recurrent concussions and a clinical diagnosis of minimal cognitive impairment ($p=0.02$) and self-reported memory problems ($p=0.001$; Class
II\textsuperscript{e134}). Whereas this study did not detect a direct association with Alzheimer disease (AD), an earlier onset of AD in the NFL retiree population was shown relative to that in the general adult male population (age-adjusted prevalence ratio for AD = 1.37 [95% CI 0.98–1.56]). In another study using the same health survey, a relationship was also reported between recurrent concussions and a lifetime risk of depression ($p<0.005$, Class II\textsuperscript{e135}). Players with 1–2 prior concussions (1.5x) and those with $\geq 3$ concussions (3x) were more likely to be diagnosed with depression relative to retired players without concussion history. Two studies\textsuperscript{e137,e138} with possibly overlapping cohorts showed neurocognitive impairments in professional soccer players as compared with control (swimming and track) athletes and a dose relationship between headers, concussions, and cognitive impairments. A third, larger study showed no such relationship.\textsuperscript{e139}

\textit{Prior concussion in amateur athletes.} Nine Class I,\textsuperscript{e66,e124,e125,e127–e132} 9 Class II,\textsuperscript{e92,e100,e140–e146} and 3 Class III\textsuperscript{e149,e150,e152} studies examined the relationship between prior mTBI and the presence of neurobehavioral impairments in nonprofessional athletes (appendix 6, Q3). The sports studied include rugby, football, and soccer; the majority of studies included multiple sports.

Four Class I studies described an association between prior concussions and chronic cognitive dysfunction\textsuperscript{e66,e124,e131,e132}, five Class I studies did not show that result.\textsuperscript{e125,e127–e130}
Six Class II studies supported a relationship between prior concussions and neurobehavioral deficits; two Class II studies did not show that relationship. One Class II study showed mixed results.

One Class III study supported an association. A second preliminary study did also; however, when a larger follow-up study from the same group was completed, no relationship between prior SRC and cognition was found.

**Gender.** Four studies (2 Class I, 1 Class II, 1 Class III) reported on gender with regard to chronic health effects.

One Class I study found slower reaction times, more symptoms, and lower neurocognitive scores (ImPACT) among female athletes. In another study involving 260 youth, high school and collegiate athletes, chronic impairments as measured by Rivermead Post Concussion Questionnaire were more frequently reported in female adults but not in female minors.

In a Class II study of 188 high school and collegiate athletes tested with ImPACT, females with a history of 2–3 concussions performed better than males with ≥2 concussions, with specific differences observed in visual memory, motor-processing speed, and reaction time.

**Age.** Three Class I studies reported on age in relationship to chronic problems. In a Class I study of 698 subjects that involved paper-and-pencil neuropsychological testing, prior TBI and younger age were associated with reliable decrements on neurocognitive
In a study of 111 rugby players, clinical symptoms and complaints of memory impairment were associated with exposure in retired and older recreational rugby players but not in active (younger) rugby players. In a study of 40 patients examining the role of “heading” in elite national team soccer players developing chronic cognitive dysfunction, the authors noted no association with age, symptoms, or MRI findings and concluded that the reported head injury symptoms appeared to be related to acute head injuries rather than “heading” behaviors.

One Class II study examined age and history of concussions in regard to neurocognitive function and event-related potentials. Adolescents with a history of concussion scored lower on 1 of 10 cognitive tests administered; no age effects were seen for electrophysiologic measures.

**Sports-related factors.** Sports-related factors that affect neurocognitive function were examined in 4 Class I (rugby position; heading) and 3 Class II (heading) studies. Six of these were related to heading in soccer.

In a Class I study of neurocognitive performance among 226 athletes with prior TBI, rugby players were found to perform worse on visuomotor speed as compared with noncontact control athletes. Of note the rugby players had a higher percentage of individuals with ≥2 concussions than the noncontact athletic controls, but rugby position did not significantly contribute to cognitive performance. A study of 254 collegiate athletes examining whether prior TBI and sport were neurocognitive risk factors found no evidence of sport-specific deficits on cognitive testing. No association was found between heading in soccer and deficits on neuropsychological paper-and-pencil testing or MRI findings.
Conflicting data exist for cognitive impairments in relation to heading in soccer players. On the basis of computerized neuropsychological testing of professional soccer players, it was noted that no long-standing neuropsychological deficits were associated with heading or previous concussion.\textsuperscript{e139} Another Class II study of collegiate students (soccer athletes, nonsoccer athletes, and controls) found no association between participation in soccer and neurocognitive deficits, although heading was not specifically studied.\textsuperscript{e144} However, two other Class II studies reported different findings with heading. One study found an association between heading and neurocognitive deficits. In a second study from the same group of investigators that involved 84 athletes, an association between a greater number of headers and attention and verbal memory dysfunction was observed.\textsuperscript{e137,e138}

\textit{Athlete-related factors.} Two Class II studies\textsuperscript{e133,e136} examined the relationship between ApoE genotype and chronic neurologic deficits. In a study of 30 boxers, high-exposure boxers with an ApoE epsilon4 allele had lower scores on the clinical Chronic Brain Injury (CBI) rating scale.\textsuperscript{e133} A second study, examining professional football players, reported that those with the ApoE epsilon4 allele had lower cognitive test scores than players without this genotype; there was a relationship between cognitive dysfunction and ApoE epsilon4 and increasing age.\textsuperscript{e136} No player had sustained TBI or concussion within 9 months of cognitive assessment. Neither of these studies reported a difference between heterozygotes and homozygotes. One Class I study found the combination of prior diagnosis of learning disability and history of multiple concussions to be associated with lower neurocognitive test results.\textsuperscript{e66}
Conclusions. Prior concussion exposure is highly likely to be a risk factor for chronic neurobehavioral impairment across a broad range of professional sports, and there appears to be a relationship with increasing exposure (2 Class I studies, 6 Class II studies, in football, soccer, boxing, and horseracing). Evidence is insufficient to determine if there is a relationship between chronic cognitive impairment and heading in professional soccer (inconsistent Class II studies). Data are insufficient to determine whether prior concussion exposure is associated with chronic cognitive impairment in amateur athletes. Likewise, data are insufficient to determine whether the number of heading incidents is associated with neurobehavioral impairments in amateur soccer. ApoE4 genotype is likely to be associated with chronic cognitive impairment after concussion exposure (2 Class II studies), and preexisting learning disability may be a risk factor (1 Class I study). Data are insufficient to conclude whether gender and age are risk factors for chronic postconcussive problems.

For athletes with concussion, what interventions enhance recovery, reduce the risk of recurrent concussion, or diminish long-term sequelae?

Our literature search screened 892 abstracts; the full-text articles of 116 of those abstracts were reviewed in detail. Of these 116 articles, 15 publications were reviewed and pertinent evidence extracted. These studies are summarized in appendix 6, Q4.

Three Class III studies addressed interventions to enhance concussion recovery or mitigate postconcussive complications. One retrospective study of 95 athletes who presented to a university-based sports concussion clinic graded self-reported postconcussion activity levels
(range: 0 [no activity] to 4 [full academic and athletic activity]) and then compared symptom checklists and computerized cognitive testing between groups. The moderate-activity group (level 2 = school activity and sports practice) performed better on visual memory ($p=0.003$) and reaction time ($p=0.0005$) testing, and trended toward fewer symptoms ($p=0.08$) relative to those with higher (or lower) postinjury exertion levels.

Another study combined prospectively acquired data from 3 parallel, multicenter studies to investigate 635 athletes with concussion. These athletes were separated into those who underwent a symptom-free waiting period (SFWP) of any duration (60.3%) and those without SFWP (39.7%). All underwent a battery of testing that included GSC, SAC, BESS, and a global neuropsychological test score. Baseline scores were compared with scores on the same measures taken during the first postconcussion week and with a final set of testing conducted 45–90 days postinjury; no differences were found between SFWP and non-SFWP groups for either acute injury or chronic injury test scores. Of the 24 athletes who sustained a second concussion during the same season, only 2 were in the non-SFWP group. The remaining 22 athletes (all in the SFWP group) showed significantly shorter duration of SFWP (2.96 days) and RTP (6.2 days) than the athletes with SFWP who did not sustain second concussions that season (5.78 days and 9.75 days, respectively).

The third study investigated a group of 12 patients with refractory postconcussion symptoms and measured the effects of controlled exercise, specifically subsymptom threshold exercise training (SSTET). The results showed that training could be conducted safely, and that after SSTET, subjects could exercise longer (pretraining exercise duration 9.8 minutes, posttraining 18.7
minutes), with higher peak heart rate (147 pretraining versus 179 posttraining) and systolic blood pressure (142 pretraining versus 156 posttraining) but without symptom exacerbation.

One Class III open-label study used amantadine in athletes who had not recovered by 21 days postinjury as compared with untreated controls. This study reported more-rapid symptom recovery and modest group differences in verbal memory and reaction time. However, there was no blinding or placebo control, and the two groups had neurocognitive differences at baseline.159

**Conclusion.** Each of these studies addresses a different aspect of postconcussion intervention, providing evidence that was graded as very low to low. On the basis of the available evidence, no conclusions can be drawn regarding the effect of postconcussive activity level on the recovery from concussion or the likelihood of developing chronic postconcussion complications. There was no randomized, controlled evidence to support the use of specific medications or nutritional supplements to enhance recovery from SRC.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

For this guideline, recommendations have each been categorized as one of three types: 1) preparticipation counseling recommendations; 2) recommendations related to assessment, diagnosis, and management of suspected concussion; and 3) recommendations for management of diagnosed concussion (including acute management, RTP, and retirement). In this section, the term experienced *licensed health care provider* (LHCP) refers to an individual who has acquired knowledge and skills relevant to evaluation and management of sports concussions and is practicing within the scope of his or her training and experience. The role of the LHCP can
generally be characterized in 1 of 2 ways: sideline (at the sporting event) or clinical (at an outpatient clinic or emergency room). The clinical contextual profiles transparently indicating the panel’s judgments used to formulate the recommendations are indicated in appendix 9.

Preparticipation counseling

Clinical context: Preparticipation counseling

Our review indicates that there are a number of significant risk factors for experiencing a concussion or a recurrent concussion in a sports-related setting. It is accepted that individuals should be informed of activities that place them at increased risk for adverse health consequences.

Practice recommendation: Preparticipation counseling

1. School-based professionals should be educated by experienced LHCPs designated by their organization/institution to understand the risks of experiencing a concussion so that they may provide accurate information to parents and athletes (Level B).

2. To foster informed decision making, LHCPs should inform athletes (and where appropriate, the athletes’ families) of evidence concerning the concussion risk factors as listed below. Accurate information regarding concussion risks also should be disseminated to school systems and sports authorities (Level B).

   A. Age or competition level. There is insufficient evidence to make any recommendation as to whether age or competition level affects the athlete’s overall concussion risk.
B. *Type of sport.* Among commonly played team sports with data available for systematic review, there is strong evidence that concussion risk is greatest in football, rugby, hockey, and soccer.

C. *Gender.* Clear differences in concussion risk between male and female athletes have not been demonstrated for many sports; however, in soccer and basketball there is strong evidence that concussion risk appears to be greater for female athletes.

D. *Equipment.* There is moderate evidence indicating that use of a helmet (when well fitted, with approved design) effectively reduces, but does not eliminate, risk of concussion and more-serious head trauma in hockey and rugby; similar effectiveness is inferred for football. There is no evidence to support greater efficacy of one particular type of football helmet, nor is there evidence to demonstrate efficacy of soft head protectors in sports such as soccer or basketball.

E. *Position.* Data are insufficient to support any recommendation as to whether position increases concussion risk in most major team sports.

F. *Prior concussion.* There is strong evidence indicating that a history of concussion/mTBI is a significant risk factor for additional concussions. There is moderate evidence indicating that a recurrent concussion is more likely to occur within 10 days after a prior concussion.

**Suspected concussion**

*Clinical context: Use of checklists and screening tools for suspected concussion*

The diagnosis of an SRC is a clinical diagnosis based on salient features from the history and examination. Although different tests are used to evaluate an athlete with suspected concussion
initially, no single test score can be the basis of a concussion diagnosis. There is moderate evidence that standardized symptom checklists (PCSS/GSC) and the SAC when administered early after a suspected concussion have moderate to high sensitivity and specificity in identifying sports concussions relative to those of the reference standard of a clinician-diagnosed concussion. There is low-moderate evidence that the BESS has low to moderate sensitivity and moderate to high specificity in identifying sports concussions. Generally, physicians with expertise in concussion are not present when the concussion is sustained, and the initial assessment of an injured athlete is done by a team’s athletic trainer, a school nurse, or, in amateur sports in the absence of other personnel, the coach. These tools can be implemented by nonphysicians who are often present on the sidelines. Proper use of these tests/tools requires training. Postinjury scores on these concussion assessment tools may be compared with age-matched normal values or with an individual’s preinjury baseline. Physicians are formally trained to do neurologic and general medical assessments and to recognize signs and symptoms of concussion and of more-severe TBI.

**Practice recommendations: Use of checklists and screening tools for suspected concussion**

1. Inexperienced LHCPs should be instructed in the proper administration of standardized validated sideline assessment tools. This instruction should emphasize that these tools are only an adjunct to the evaluation of the athlete with suspected concussion and cannot be used alone to diagnose concussion (Level B). These providers should be instructed by experienced individuals (LHCPs) who themselves are licensed, knowledgeable about sports concussion, and practicing within the scope of their training and experience,
designated by their organization/institution in the proper administration of the standardized validated sideline assessment tools (Level B).

2. In individuals with suspected concussion, these tools should be utilized by sideline LHCPs and the results made available to clinical LHCPs who will be evaluating the injured athlete (Level B).

3. LHCPs caring for athletes might utilize individual baseline scores on concussion assessment tools, especially in younger athletes, those with prior concussions, or those with preexisting learning disabilities/ADHD, as doing so fosters better interpretation of postinjury scores (Level C).

4. Team personnel (e.g., coaching, athletic training staff, sideline LHCPs) should immediately remove from play any athlete suspected of having sustained a concussion, in order to minimize the risk of further injury (Level B).

5. Team personnel should not permit the athlete to return to play until the athlete has been assessed by an experienced LHCP with training both in the diagnosis and management of concussion and in the recognition of more-severe TBI (Level B).

**Clinical context: Neuroimaging for suspected concussion**

No specific imaging parameters currently exist for suspected SRC, but there is strong evidence to support guidelines for selected use of acute CT scanning in pediatric and adult patients presenting to emergency departments with mTBI. In general, CT imaging guidelines for mTBI were developed to detect clinically significant structural injuries and not concussion.\textsuperscript{e160,e161}

**Practice recommendation:**
CT imaging should not be used to diagnose SRC but might be obtained to rule out more serious TBI such as an intracranial hemorrhage in athletes with a suspected concussion who have LOC, posttraumatic amnesia, persistently altered mental status (Glasgow Coma Scale <15), focal neurologic deficit, evidence of skull fracture on examination, or signs of clinical deterioration (Level C).

Diagnosed concussion

Clinical context: RTP – risk of recurrent concussion

There is moderate to strong evidence that ongoing symptoms are associated with ongoing cognitive dysfunction and slowed reaction time after sports concussions. Given that postinjury cognitive slowing and delayed reaction time can have a negative effect on an athlete’s ability to play safely and effectively, it is likely that these symptoms place an athlete at greater risk for a recurrence of concussion. There is weak evidence from human studies to support the conclusion that ongoing concussion signs and symptoms are risk factors for more-severe acute concussion, postconcussion syndrome, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment. Medications may frequently mask or mitigate postinjury symptoms (e.g., analgesic use for headache).

Practice recommendations: RTP – risk of recurrent concussion

1. In order to diminish the risk of recurrent injury, individuals supervising athletes should prohibit an athlete with concussion from returning to play/practice (contact-risk activity) until an LHCP has judged that the concussion has resolved (Level B).
2. In order to diminish the risk of recurrent injury, individuals supervising athletes should prohibit an athlete with concussion from returning to play/practice (contact-risk activity) until the athlete is asymptomatic off medication (Level B).

Clinical context: RTP – age effects

Comparative studies have shown moderate evidence that early postconcussive symptoms and cognitive impairments are longer lasting in younger athletes relative to older athletes. In these studies it is not possible to isolate the effects of age from possible effects of level of play, and there are no comparative studies looking at postconcussive impairments below the high school level. It is accepted that minors in particular should be protected from significant potential risks resulting from elective participation in contact sports. It is also recognized that most ancillary concussion assessment tools (e.g., GSC, SAC, BESS) currently in use either have not been validated or are incompletely validated in children of preteen age or younger.

Practice recommendations: RTP – age effects

1. Individuals supervising athletes of high school age or younger with diagnosed concussion should manage them more conservatively regarding RTP than they manage older athletes (Level B).

2. Individuals using concussion assessment tools for the evaluation of athletes of preteen age or younger should ensure that these tools demonstrate appropriate psychometric properties of reliability and validity (Level B).

Clinical context: RTP – concussion resolution
There is no single diagnostic test to determine resolution of concussion. Thus, we conclude that concussion resolution is also predominantly a clinical determination made on the basis of a comprehensive neurologic history, neurologic examination, and cognitive assessment. There is moderate evidence that tests such as symptom checklists, neurocognitive testing, and balance testing are helpful in monitoring recovery from concussion.

**Practice recommendation: RTP – concussion resolution**

Clinical LHCPs might use supplemental information, such as neurocognitive testing or other tools, to assist in determining concussion resolution. This may include but is not limited to resolution of symptoms as determined by standardized checklists and return to age-matched normative values or an individual’s preinjury baseline performance on validated neurocognitive testing (Level C).

**Clinical context: RTP – graded physical activity**

Limited data exist to support conclusions regarding implementation of a graded physical activity program designed to assist the athlete to recover from a concussion. Preliminary evidence suggests that a return to moderate activity is possibly associated with better performance on visual memory and reaction time tests, with a trend toward lower symptom scores as compared with scores for no-activity or high-activity groups. Preliminary evidence also exists to suggest that a program of progressive physical activity may possibly be helpful for athletes with prolonged postconcussive symptomatology. There are insufficient data to support specific recommendations for implementing a graded activity program to normalize physical, cognitive,
and academic functional impairments. It is accepted that levels of activity that exacerbate underlying symptoms or cognitive impairments should be avoided.

**Practice recommendation: RTP – graded physical activity**

LHCPs might develop individualized graded plans for return to physical and cognitive activity, guided by a carefully monitored, clinically based approach to minimize exacerbation of early postconcussive impairments (Level C).

**Clinical context: Cognitive restructuring**

Patients with mTBI/concussion may underestimate their preinjury symptoms, including many symptoms that are known to occur in individuals without concussion, such as headache, inattention, memory lapses, and fatigue. After injury there is a tendency to ascribe any symptoms to a suspected mTBI/concussion. Patients with chronic postconcussion symptoms utilize more medical resources, namely, repeat physician visits and additional diagnostic tests. Cognitive restructuring is a form of brief psychological counseling that consists of education, reassurance, and reattribution of symptoms and often utilizes both verbal and written information. Whereas there are no specific studies using cognitive restructuring specifically in sports concussions, multiple studies using this intervention for mTBI have been conducted and have shown benefit in both adults and children by reducing symptoms and decreasing the proportion of individuals who ultimately develop chronic postconcussion syndrome.

**Practice recommendation: Cognitive restructuring**
LHCPs might provide cognitive restructuring counseling to all athletes with concussion to shorten the duration of subjective symptoms and diminish the likelihood of development of chronic postconcussion syndrome (Level C).

**Clinical context: Retirement from play after multiple concussions – assessment**

In amateur athletes, the relationship between multiple concussions and chronic neurobehavioral impairments is uncertain. In professional athletes, there is strong evidence for a relationship between multiple recurrent concussions and chronic neurobehavioral impairments. A subjective history of persistent neurobehavioral impairments can be measured more objectively with formal neurologic/cognitive assessments that include a neurologic examination and neuropsychological testing.

**Practice recommendation: Retirement from play after multiple concussions – assessment**

1. LHCPs might refer professional athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairments for neurologic and neuropsychological assessment (Level C).
2. LCHPs caring for amateur athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairments might use formal neurologic/cognitive assessment to help guide retirement-from-play decisions (Level C).

**Clinical context: Retirement from play – counseling**
Other risk factors for persistent or chronic cognitive impairment include longer duration of contact sport participation and preexisting learning disability. In professional athletes, data also support ApoE4 genotype as a risk factor for chronic cognitive impairment. The only modifiable risk factor currently identified is exposure to future concussions or contact sports.

**Practice recommendations: Retirement from play – counseling**

1. LHCPs should counsel athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairment about the risk factors for developing permanent or lasting neurobehavioral or cognitive impairments (Level B).

2. LHCPs caring for professional contact sport athletes who show objective evidence for chronic/persistent neurologic/cognitive deficits (such as seen on formal neuropsychological testing) should recommend retirement from the contact sport to minimize risk for and severity of chronic neurobehavioral impairments (Level B).

**RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH**

1. Additional investigations into factors affecting concussion risk, natural history, and outcome are warranted.

2. Given the number of youth sports participants, extending concussion assessment, natural history, and recovery studies into younger ages (pre–high school) is important, including comparative studies with older age groups. Also needed are development and validation of assessment tools for use in the younger age ranges.

3. Clinical trials of different postconcussion management strategies and RTP protocols are needed to provide a foundation for evidence-based interventions.
4. Research also is needed in the area of gait stability testing with dual tasks or divided-attention task performance for concussion diagnosis, as well as whether these tasks have more utility in determining readiness for RTP in athletes with protracted symptom recovery.

5. Additional studies to determine the efficacy of sideline tools (PCSS, SAC, BESS, King-Devick, clinical reaction time testing) to help diagnose concussion are needed. These studies should include both athletes with SRC and those without SRC; in addition, however, these studies should include, in particular, athletes suspected of having sustained SRC but who ultimately are not diagnosed with SRC, or athletes who are injured but not diagnosed with concussion, or both.

6. The use of neuroimaging, and in particular advanced (microstructural—diffusion tensor imaging or functional—fMRI, MRS) imaging, shows promise in the setting of SRC, but further studies are needed to determine the utility of these modalities in the management of individual SRCs.

7. Management of chronic postconcussion symptoms and impairment likely involves different pathophysiologic and psychological processes that should respond to different interventions. More clinical studies of treatment for chronic postconcussive problems should be conducted.

8. Further definition, investigations, or registries (or a combination of these) of significant postconcussive complications such as second-impact syndrome and CTE are necessary to determine the actual risks and risk factors for these conditions.
Table 1. Concussion incidence in high school and collegiate competitions among commonly played sports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Rate/1000 games</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Football</strong>\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ice hockey</strong>\textsuperscript{24}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soccer</strong>\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basketball</strong>\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseball/softball</strong>\textsuperscript{14,a}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summary of 9 sports</strong>\textsuperscript{14,b}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assumes that competitive high school and collegiate baseball players were mainly male and softball players were mainly female.

Sports include football, boys’ and girls’ soccer, volleyball, boys’ and girls’ basketball, wrestling, baseball, and softball.
Appendix 1: Definition of terms

The use of rigid definitions in the area of sports concussion poses an existential challenge to any attempt to quantify the evidence for their management. Whereas this author panel concurred that the distinctions between concussion and mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) are not well established, there was also a practical consideration that the vast majority of cases of sports-related concussion (SRC) and sport-related mTBI describe similar entities. Thus for this guideline, we included studies that described either SRCs or sports-related mTBI. In general, SRC/mTBI required a clinician (physician, certified athletic trainer) diagnosis, if one follows definitions set forth by the American Academy of Neurology (1997),\textsuperscript{e10} Colorado Medical Society,\textsuperscript{e170} the Cantu scale,\textsuperscript{e171} or the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine (1993).\textsuperscript{e172} It is also recognized that the setting (date, location) of a given study might affect specifics of the definition—for example, older studies are more likely to require loss of consciousness (LOC) for a concussion diagnosis, or studies based in the emergency room are likely to include individuals with greater symptoms or impairments than sideline-based studies. In citing the literature, these distinctions are considered and listed in the text and summary evidence tables.

**Concussion** – pathophysiologic disturbance in neurologic function characterized by clinical symptoms induced by biomechanical forces, occurring with or without LOC. Standard structural neuroimaging is normal, and symptoms typically resolve over time.

**Mild traumatic brain injury** – historically, this has referred to biomechanically induced brain injury with a Glasgow Coma Score of 13–15. Concussions may be included in this categorization.
**Subconcussive injury** – a theoretical, very mild, biomechanically induced brain injury that may occur in the absence of overt clinical symptoms of concussion. Recent concern has been raised regarding the existence of this entity on the basis of two predominant lines of evidence: very sensitive neuroimaging and electrophysiologic measures showing group differences between individuals exposed to contact sports as compared with non–contact sport controls, and an apparent dose response between contact sport exposure and chronic cumulative neurocognitive impairments.

**Early postconcussion impairment** – subjective symptoms or objective neurocognitive deficits induced by a concussion during the acute/subacute phase (days–weeks).

**Late postconcussion neurobehavioral impairment** – subjective symptoms or objective neurocognitive or behavioral abnormalities seen chronically (months–years) following (a) concussion(s).

**Postconcussion neurologic catastrophe** – any more-severe form of traumatic brain injury or other traumatically induced intracranial complication after a concussion requiring urgent/emergent intervention (e.g., epidural hematoma, cerebral edema).

**Simple concussion** – proposed by the Concussion in Sport Group (CISG) in 2005\(^\text{e173}\) as a designation for concussions wherein symptoms resolve over 7–10 days without complication. Subsequently abandoned in the 2009 CISG consensus document.\(^\text{e7}\)
Complex concussion – proposed by the CISG in 2005\textsuperscript{e173} as a designation for concussions wherein symptoms or cognitive impairments are persistent, and for cases associated with convulsions/seizure, LOC >1 minute, or history of multiple concussions. Also withdrawn in the 2009 CISG updated consensus document.\textsuperscript{e7}
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Appendix 3: Mission Statement of GDS

The mission of the GDS is to prioritize, develop, and publish evidence-based guidelines related to the diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of neurological disorders.

The GDS is committed to using the most rigorous methods available within our budget, in collaboration with other available AAN resources, to most efficiently accomplish this mission.
Appendix 4: Search strategy

See PDF labeled “appendix 4 search strategy” at the Neurology® website at www.neurology.org.
Appendix 5: AAN rules for classification of evidence for risk of bias

For questions related to diagnostic accuracy

Class I

- Study is a cohort survey with prospective data collection.
- Study includes a broad spectrum of persons suspected of having the disease.
- Disease status determination is objective or made without knowledge of diagnostic test result.
- The following also are required:
  a. Inclusion criteria are defined.
  b. At least 80% of enrolled subjects have both the diagnostic test and disease status measured.

Class II

- Study is a cohort study with retrospective data collection or is a case-control study. Study meets criteria a–b.
- Study includes a broad spectrum of persons with the disease and persons without the disease.
- The diagnostic test result and disease status are determined objectively or without knowledge of one or the other.

Class III

- Study is a cohort or case-control study.
- Study includes a narrow spectrum of persons with or without the disease.
- The diagnostic test result and disease status are determined objectively, without knowledge of one or the other, or by different investigators.

Class IV

- The study does not include persons suspected of the disease.
- The study does not include patients with the disease and patients without the disease.
- The study uses an undefined or unaccepted independent reference standard.
- No measures of diagnostic accuracy or statistical precision are presented or calculable.

For questions related to prognostic accuracy

Class I

- The study is a cohort survey with prospective data collection.
- The study includes a broad spectrum of persons at risk for developing the outcome.
- Outcome measurement is objective or determined without knowledge of risk factor status.
- The following also are required:
  a. Inclusion criteria are defined.
  b. At least 80% of enrolled subjects have both the risk factor and outcome measured.

Class II
- The study is a cohort study with retrospective data collection or is a case control study. Study meets criteria a–b.

- The study includes a broad spectrum of persons with the risk factor and outcome and persons without the risk factor and outcome.

- The presence of the risk factor and outcome are determined objectively or without knowledge of one or the other of these variables.

Class III

- The study is a cohort or case-control study.

- The study includes a narrow spectrum of persons with or without the disease.

- The presence of the risk factor and outcome are determined objectively, without knowledge of the one or the other, or by different investigators.

Class IV

- The study does not include persons at risk for the outcome.

- The study does not include patients with the risk factor and patients without the risk factor.

- The study uses undefined or unaccepted measures of risk factor or outcomes.

- No measures of association or statistical precision are presented or calculable.

For questions related to therapeutic intervention

Class I

- The study is a randomized clinical trial.
- All relevant baseline characteristics are presented and substantially equivalent between treatment groups or there is appropriate statistical adjustment for differences.

- Outcome measurement is objective or determined without knowledge of treatment status.

- The following also are required:
  a. The primary outcome(s) is/are defined.
  b. The inclusion criteria are defined.
  c. There is accounting of dropouts and crossovers (with at least 80% of enrolled subjects completing the study).
  d. There is concealed allocation.

Class II

- The study is a cohort study meeting criteria a–c above or is a randomized, controlled trial that lacks one or two criteria a–d.

- All relevant baseline characteristics are presented and substantially equivalent among treatment groups, or there is appropriate statistical adjustment for differences.

- There is masked or objective outcome assessment.

Class III

- The study is a controlled study (including well-defined natural history controls or patients serving as their own controls).

- The study includes a description of major confounding differences between treatment groups that could affect outcome.
- Outcome assessment is masked, objective, or performed by someone who is not a member of the treatment team.

Class IV

- The study does not include patients with the disease.
- The study does not include patients receiving different interventions.
- The study uses undefined or unaccepted interventions or outcome measures.
- No measures of effectiveness or statistical precision are presented or calculable.
Appendix 6: Summary evidence tables

See Word document labeled “appendix 6 sum evid tables” at the Neurology® website at www.neurology.org.
Appendix 7: Rules for determining confidence in evidence

- Modal modifiers used to indicate the final confidence in evidence in the conclusions
  - High confidence: highly likely or highly probable
  - Moderate confidence: likely or probable
  - Low confidence: possibly
  - Very low confidence: insufficient evidence

- Initial rating of confidence in the evidence for each intervention outcome pair
  - High: requires two or more Class I studies
  - Moderate: requires one Class I study or two or more Class II studies
  - Low: requires one Class II study or two or more Class III studies
  - Very low: requires only one Class III study or one or more Class IV studies

- Factors that could result in downgrading confidence by one or more levels
  - Consistency
  - Precision
  - Directness
  - Publication bias
  - Biologic plausibility

- Factors that could result in downgrading confidence by one or more levels or upgrading confidence by one level
  - Magnitude of effect
  - Dose response relationship
  - Direction of bias
### Summary evidence table template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Outcome(s)</th>
<th>Number &amp; Class of Studies</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Consistent</th>
<th>Directness</th>
<th>Plausible</th>
<th>Reporting Bias</th>
<th>Magnitude of Effect</th>
<th>Dose Response</th>
<th>Direction of Bias</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Confidence in Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 8: Steps and rules for formulating recommendations

Constructing the recommendation and its rationale

Rationale for recommendation summarized in the Clinical Context includes three categories of premises

- Evidence-based conclusions for the systematic review
- Stipulated axiomatic principles of care
- Strong evidence from related conditions not systematically reviewed

Actionable recommendations include the following mandatory elements

- The patient population that is the subject of the recommendation
- The person performing the action of the recommendation statement
- The specific action to be performed
- The expected outcome to be attained

Assigning a clinician level of obligation

Modal modifiers used to indicate the final clinician level of obligation (CLO)

- Level A: “Must”
- Level B: “Should”
- Level C: “Might”
- Level U: No recommendation supported

CLO assigned by eliciting panel members’ judgments regarding multiple domains, using a modified Delphi process. Goal is to attain consensus after a maximum of three rounds of voting. Consensus is defined by:

- ≥ 80% agreement on dichotomous judgments
• ≥80% agreement, within one point for ordinal judgments

• If consensus obtained, CLO assigned at the median. If not obtained, CLO assigned at the 10th percentile

**Three steps used to assign final CLO**

1. Initial CLO determined by the cogency of the deductive inference supporting the recommendation on the basis of ratings within four domains. Initial CLO anchored to lowest CLO supported by any domain.
   - Confidence in evidence. CLO anchored to confidence in evidence determined by modified form of the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation process.\textsuperscript{13}
     - Level A: High confidence
     - Level B: Moderate confidence
     - Level C: Low confidence
     - Level U: Very low confidence
   - Soundness of inference assuming all premises are true. CLO anchored to proportion of panel members convinced of soundness of the inference
     - Level A: 100%
     - Level B: ≥80% to <100%
     - Level C: ≥50% to <80%
     - Level U or R: <50%
   - Acceptance of axiomatic principles: CLO anchored to proportion of panel members who accept principles
     - Level A: 100%
- Level B: ≥80% to <100%
- Level C: ≥50% to <80%
- Level U or R: <50%

  ▪ Belief that evidence cited from rerated conditions is strong: CLO anchored to proportion of panel members who believe the related evidence is strong
    - Level B: ≥80% to 100% (recommendations dependent on inferences from nonsystematically reviewed evidence cannot be anchored to a Level A CLO)
    - Level C: ≥50% to <80%
    - Level U or R: <50%

2. CLO is modified mandatorily on the basis of the judged magnitude of benefit relative to harm expected to be derived from complying with the recommendation

  ▪ Magnitude relative to harm rated on 4-point ordinal scale
    - Large benefit relative to harm: benefit judged large, harm judged none
    - Moderate benefit relative to harm: benefit judged large, harm judged minimal; or benefit judged moderate, harm judged none
    - Small benefit relative to harm: benefit judged large, harm judged moderate; or benefit judged moderate, harm judged minimal; or benefit judged small, harm judged none
    - Benefit to harm judged too close to call: benefit and harm judged to be the same
Regardless of cogency of the recommendation the CLO can be no higher than that supported by the rating of the magnitude of benefit relative to harm

- Level A: Large benefit relative to harm
- Level B: Moderate benefit relative to harm
- Level C: Small benefit relative to harm
- Level U: Too close to call

CLO can be increased by one grade if CLO corresponding to benefit relative to harm greater than CLO corresponding to the cogency of the recommendation

3. CLO optionally downgraded on the basis of the following domains

- Importance of the outcome: critical, important, mildly important, not important
- Expected variation in patient preferences: none, minimal, moderate, large
- Financial burden relative to benefit expected: none, minimal, moderate, large
- Availability of intervention: universal, usually, sometimes, limited

The Clinical Contextual Profile shown below summarizes the results of panel ratings for each domain described above. The profile also indicates the corresponding assigned CLO. The last column indicates whether consensus was obtained for that domain.
Appendix 9: Clinical contextual profiles for recommendations

For an explanation of domains and rules for assigning CLOs to recommendations please refer to appendix 7. The clinical contextual profile corresponding to a recommendation or a set of recommendations follows the recommendation(s).

Recommendations for preparticipation counseling

1. Sideline LHCPs and school-based professionals should be educated by experienced individuals designated by their organization/institution to understand the risks of experiencing a concussion so that they may provide accurate information to parents and athletes (Level B).

2. To foster informed decision making, LHCPs should inform athletes (and where appropriate, the athletes’ families) of evidence concerning the concussion risk factors. Accurate information regarding concussion risks also should be disseminated to school systems and sports authorities (Level B).

Recommendations for suspected concussion
Use of checklists and screening tools for suspected concussion

1. LHCPs should be instructed in the proper administration of standardized, validated sideline assessment tools. This instruction should emphasize that these tools are only an adjunct to the evaluation of the athlete with suspected concussion and cannot be used alone to diagnose concussion (Level B). These providers should be instructed by experienced individuals who themselves are licensed, knowledgeable about sports concussion, and practicing within the scope of their training and experience, designated by their organization/institution in proper administration of standardized validated sideline assessment tools (Level B).

2. In individuals with suspected concussion, these tools should be utilized by sideline LHCPs and the results made available to clinical LHCPs who will be evaluating the injured athlete (Level B).

3. LHCPs caring for athletes might utilize individual baseline scores on concussion assessment tools, especially in younger athletes, those with prior concussions, or those
with preexisting learning disabilities/ADHD, as doing so fosters better interpretation of postinjury scores (Level C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of obligation</th>
<th>R None</th>
<th>C May</th>
<th>B Should</th>
<th>A Must</th>
<th>Consensus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Limited</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Usually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial burden</td>
<td>Prohibitive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation in preferences</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of outcomes</td>
<td>Not important</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Mildly Important</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit relative to Harm</td>
<td>Too close to call</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude of Harm</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude of Benefit</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogency of recommendation</td>
<td>Very wkly Cogent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Weakly Cogent</td>
<td>Modify Cogent</td>
<td>Simply Cogent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong evidence other cond</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
<td>&gt;80% to 100%</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence in evidence</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderately</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance of Principles</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
<td>&gt;80% to &lt; 100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound inference</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
<td>&gt;= 80% to &lt; 100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Panel members chose not to downgrade CLO from Level B to Level C based on variation in preferences, financial burden, or availability

4. Team personnel (e.g., coaching, athletic training staff, sideline LHCPs) should immediately remove from play any athlete suspected of having sustained a concussion, in order to minimize the risk of further injury (Level B).

5. Team personnel should not permit the athlete to return to play until the athlete has been assessed by an experienced clinical LHCP with training both in the diagnosis and management of concussion and in the recognition of more-severe TBI (Level B).
*Panel members chose not to downgrade CLO from Level B to Level C on the basis of variation in preferences or availability.

**Neuroimaging for suspected concussion**

CT imaging should not be used to diagnose SRC but might be obtained to rule out more serious TBI such as an intracranial hemorrhage in athletes with a suspected concussion who have LOC, posttraumatic amnesia, persistently altered mental status (Glasgow Coma Scale <15), focal neurologic deficit, evidence of skull fracture on examination, or signs of clinical deterioration (Level C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of obligation</th>
<th>R None</th>
<th>C May</th>
<th>B Should</th>
<th>A Must</th>
<th>Consensus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Limited 0</td>
<td>&gt; Sometimes 1</td>
<td>Usually 7</td>
<td>Universal 3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial burden</td>
<td>Prohibitive 1</td>
<td>Moderate 4</td>
<td>Minimal 4</td>
<td>None 2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation in preferences</td>
<td>Large 0</td>
<td>Moderate 2</td>
<td>Minimal 8</td>
<td>None 0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of outcomes</td>
<td>Not important</td>
<td>Mildly Important</td>
<td>Important</td>
<td>Critical</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit relative to Harm</td>
<td>Too close to call</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude of Harm</td>
<td>Large 1</td>
<td>Moderate 3</td>
<td>Minimal 7</td>
<td>None 0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude of Benefit</td>
<td>None 0</td>
<td>Minimal 1</td>
<td>Moderate 0</td>
<td>Large 7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogency of recommendation</td>
<td>Very wkly Cogent</td>
<td>Weakly Cogent</td>
<td>Modly Cogent</td>
<td>Strngly Cogent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong evidence other cond</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
<td>&gt;80% to 100%</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence in evidence</td>
<td>Very low 0</td>
<td>Low 2</td>
<td>Moderate 5</td>
<td>High 4</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance of Principles</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
<td>&gt;80% to &lt; 100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound inference</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
<td>&gt; 80% to &lt; 100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendations for diagnosed concussion**

**RTP—risk of recurrent concussion**

1. In order to diminish the risk of recurrent injury, individuals supervising athletes should prohibit an athlete with concussion from returning to play/practice (contact-risk activity) until an LHCP has judged that the concussion has resolved (Level B).
2. In order to diminish the risk of recurrent injury, individuals supervising athletes should prohibit an athlete with concussion from returning to play/practice (contact-risk activity) until the athlete is asymptomatic off medication (Level B).

**RTP – age effects**
1. Individuals supervising athletes of high school age or younger with diagnosed concussion should manage them more conservatively regarding RTP than they manage older athletes (Level B).

2. Individuals using concussion assessment tools for the evaluation of athletes of preteen age or younger should ensure that these tools demonstrate appropriate psychometric properties of reliability and validity (Level B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of obligation</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Consensus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Limited</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Usually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial burden</td>
<td>Prohibitive</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation in preferences</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of outcomes</td>
<td>Not important</td>
<td>Mildly Important</td>
<td>Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit relative to Harm</td>
<td>Too close to call</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude of Harm</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude of Benefit</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogency of recommendation</td>
<td>Very Weakly Cogent</td>
<td>Weakly Cogent</td>
<td>Moderately Cogent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong evidence other condition</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
<td>&gt;80% to 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence in evidence</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance of Principles</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
<td>&gt;80% to &lt; 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound inference</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
<td>≥ 80% to &lt; 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Panel members chose not to downgrade CLO from Level B to Level C on the basis of variation in preferences or financial burden.

**RTP – concussion resolution**

Clinical LHCPs might use supplemental information, such as neurocognitive testing or other tools, to assist in determining concussion resolution. This may include but is not limited to resolution of symptoms as determined by standardized checklists and return to age-matched normative values or an individual’s preinjury baseline performance on validated neurocognitive testing (Level C).
### RTP – graded physical activity

LHCPs might develop individualized graded plans for return to physical and cognitive activity, guided by a carefully monitored, clinically based approach to minimize exacerbation of early postconcussive impairments (Level C).

### Cognitive restructuring

LHCPs might provide cognitive restructuring counseling to all athletes with concussion to shorten the duration of subjective symptoms and diminish the likelihood of development of chronic postconcussion syndrome (Level C).
Retirement from play after multiple concussions – assessment

1. LHCPs might refer professional athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairments for neurologic and neuropsychological assessment (Level C).

2. LHCPs caring for amateur athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairments might use formal neurologic/cognitive assessment to help guide retirement-from-play decisions (Level C).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of obligation</th>
<th>C May</th>
<th>Consensus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Limited 0</td>
<td>Usually 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial burden</td>
<td>Prohibitive 0</td>
<td>Minimal 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation in preferences</td>
<td>Large 0</td>
<td>Small 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of outcomes</td>
<td>Not important</td>
<td>Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit relative to Harm</td>
<td>Too close to call</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude of Harm</td>
<td>Large 0</td>
<td>Minimal 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude of Benefit</td>
<td>None 0</td>
<td>Moderate 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogency of recommendation</td>
<td>Very wkly Cogent</td>
<td>Weakly Cogent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong evidence other cond</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence in evidence</td>
<td>Very low 0</td>
<td>Low 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance of Principles</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound inference</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>&gt;50% to &lt; 80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Retirement from play – counseling**

1. LHCPs should counsel athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairment about the risk factors for developing permanent or lasting neurobehavioral or cognitive impairments (Level B).

2. LHCPs caring for professional contact sport athletes who show objective evidence for chronic/persistent neurologic/cognitive deficits (such as seen on formal neuropsychological testing) should recommend retirement from the contact sport to minimize risk for and severity of chronic neurobehavioral impairments (Level B).

Panel members chose not to downgrade CLO from Level B to Level C on the basis of variation in preferences or financial burden.
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This is a summary of the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) guideline update regarding evaluation and management of athletes with suspected or diagnosed concussion in sports.

Please refer to the full 2013 update at www.aan.com for more information, including definitions of the classification of evidence, classification of recommendations, and clinical contextual profiles.

FOR ATHLETES WHAT FACTORS INCREASE OR DECREASE CONCUSSION RISK?

Clinical Context: Preparticipation Counseling

Our review indicates that there are a number of significant risk factors for experiencing a concussion or a recurrent concussion in a sports-related setting. It is accepted that individuals should be informed of activities that place them at increased risk for adverse health consequences.

Level B

School-based professionals should be educated by experienced licensed health care professionals (LHCPs) designated by their organization/institution to understand the risks of experiencing a concussion so that they may provide accurate information to parents and athletes.

To foster informed decision making, LHCPs should inform athletes (and where appropriate, the athletes’ families) of evidence concerning the concussion risk factors as listed below. Accurate information regarding concussion risks also should be disseminated to school systems and sports authorities.

Preparticipation counseling recommendations by subcategory

Type of sport
Among commonly played team sports with data available for systematic review, there is strong evidence that concussion risk is greatest in football, rugby, hockey, and soccer.

Gender
Clear differences in concussion risk between male and female athletes have not been demonstrated for many sports; however, in soccer and basketball there is strong evidence that concussion risk appears to be greater for female athletes.

Prior concussion
There is strong evidence indicating that a history of concussion/mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is a significant risk factor for additional concussions. There is moderate evidence indicating that a recurrent concussion is more likely to occur within 10 days after a prior concussion.

Equipment
There is moderate evidence indicating that use of a helmet (when well fitted, with approved design) effectively reduces, but does not eliminate, risk of concussion and more-serious head trauma in hockey and rugby; similar effectiveness is inferred for football. There is no evidence to support greater efficacy of one particular type of football helmet, nor is there evidence to demonstrate efficacy of soft head protectors in sports such as soccer or basketball. There is no compelling evidence that mouth guards protect athletes from concussion.

Age or competition level
There is insufficient evidence to make any recommendation as to whether age or competition level affects the athlete’s overall concussion risk.

Position
Data are insufficient to support any recommendation as to whether position increases concussion risk in most major team sports.

FOR ATHLETES SUSPECTED OF HAVING CONCUSSION, WHAT DIAGNOSTIC TOOLS ARE USEFUL IN IDENTIFYING THOSE WITH CONCUSSION AND THOSE AT INCREASED RISK FOR SEVERE OR PROLONGED EARLY IMPAIRMENTS, NEUROLOGIC CATASTROPHE, OR LATE NEUROBEHAVIORAL IMPAIRMENT?

Clinical Context: Use of Checklists and Screening Tools for Suspected Concussion

The diagnosis of a sport-related concussion (SRC) is a clinical diagnosis based on salient features from the history and examination. Although different tests are used to evaluate an athlete with suspected concussion initially, no single test score can be the basis of a concussion diagnosis. There is moderate evidence that standardized symptom checklists (Post-Concussion Symptom Scale/Graded Symptom Checklist [GSC]) and the Standardized Assessment of
Concussion (SAC) when administered early after a suspected concussion have moderate to high sensitivity and specificity in identifying sports concussions relative to those of the reference standard of a clinician-diagnosed concussion. There is low-moderate evidence that the Balance Error Scoring System (BESS) has low to moderate sensitivity and moderate to high specificity in identifying sports concussions. Generally, physicians with expertise in concussion are not present when the concussion is sustained, and the initial assessment of an injured athlete is done by a team’s athletic trainer, a school nurse, or, in amateur sports in the absence of other personnel, the coach. These tools can be implemented by nonphysicians who are often present on the sidelines. Proper use of these tests/tools requires training. Postinjury scores on these concussion assessment tools may be compared with age-matched normal values or with an individual’s preinjury baseline. Physicians are formally trained to do neurologic and general medical assessments and to recognize signs and symptoms of concussion and of more-severe traumatic brain injury (TBI).

| Level B | Inexperienced LHCPs should be instructed in the proper administration of standardized validated sideline assessment tools. This instruction should emphasize that these tools are only an adjunct to the evaluation of the athlete with suspected concussion and cannot be used alone to diagnose concussion. These providers should be instructed by experienced individuals (LHCPs) who themselves are licensed, knowledgeable about sports concussion, and practicing within the scope of their training and experience, designated by their organization/institution in the proper administration of the standardized validated sideline assessment tools. In individuals with suspected concussion, these tools should be utilized by sideline LHCPs and the results made available to clinical LHCPs who will be evaluating the injured athlete. Team personnel (e.g., coaching, athletic training staff, sideline LHCPs) should immediately remove from play any athlete suspected of having sustained a concussion, in order to minimize the risk of further injury. Team personnel should not permit the athlete to return to play until the athlete has been assessed by an experienced LHCP with training both in the diagnosis and management of concussion and in the recognition of more-severe TBI. |
| Level C | LHCPs caring for athletes might utilize individual baseline scores on concussion assessment tools, especially in younger athletes, those with prior concussions, or those with preexisting learning disabilities/ADHD, as doing so fosters better interpretation of postinjury scores. |

**Clinical Context: Neuroimaging for Suspected Concussion**

No specific imaging parameters currently exist for suspected SRC, but there is strong evidence to support guidelines for selected use of acute CT scanning in pediatric and adult patients presenting to emergency departments with mTBI. In general, CT imaging guidelines for mTBI were developed to detect clinically significant structural injuries and not concussion.

| Level C | CT imaging should not be used to diagnose SRC but might be obtained to rule out more serious TBI such as an intracranial hemorrhage in athletes with a suspected concussion who have loss of consciousness, posttraumatic amnesia, persistently altered mental status (Glasgow Coma Scale <15), focal neurologic deficit, evidence of skull fracture on examination, or signs of clinical deterioration. |

**FOR ATHLETES WITH CONCUSSION, WHAT CLINICAL FACTORS ARE USEFUL IN IDENTIFYING THOSE AT INCREASED RISK FOR SEVERE OR PROLONGED EARLY POSTCONCUSSION IMPAIRMENTS, NEUROLOGIC CATASTROPHE, RECURRENT CONCUSSIONS, OR LATE NEUROBEHAVIORAL IMPAIRMENT?**

**Clinical Context: Return to Play (RTP)—Risk of Recurrent Concussion**

There is moderate to strong evidence that ongoing symptoms are associated with ongoing cognitive dysfunction and slowed reaction time after sports concussions. Given that postinjury cognitive slowing and delayed reaction time can have a negative effect on an athlete’s ability to play safely and effectively, it is likely that these symptoms place an athlete at greater risk for a recurrence of concussion. There is weak evidence from human studies to support the conclusion that ongoing concussion signs and symptoms are risk factors for more-severe acute concussion, postconcussion syndrome, or chronic neurobehavioral impairment. Medications may frequently mask or mitigate postinjury symptoms (e.g., analgesic use for headache).

| Level B | In order to diminish the risk of recurrent injury, individuals supervising athletes should prohibit an athlete with concussion from returning to play/practice (contact-risk activity) until an LHCP has judged that the concussion has resolved. In order to diminish the risk of recurrent injury, individuals supervising athletes should prohibit an athlete with concussion from returning to play/practice (contact-risk activity) until the athlete is asymptomatic off medication. |

**Clinical Context: RTP—Age Effects**

Comparative studies have shown moderate evidence that early postconcussive symptoms and cognitive impairments are longer lasting in younger athletes relative to older athletes. In these studies it is not possible to isolate the effects of age from possible effects of level of play, and there are no comparative studies looking at postconcussive impairments below the high school level. It is accepted that minors in particular should be protected from significant potential risks resulting from elective participation in contact sports. It is also recognized that most ancillary concussion assessment tools (e.g., GSC, SAC, BESS) currently in use either have not been validated or are incompletely validated in children of preteen age or younger.
Individuals supervising athletes of high school age or younger with diagnosed concussion should manage them more conservatively regarding RTP than they manage older athletes.

Individuals using concussion assessment tools for the evaluation of athletes of preteen age or younger should ensure that these tools demonstrate appropriate psychometric properties of reliability and validity.

**Clinical Context: RTP—Concussion Resolution**

There is no single diagnostic test to determine resolution of concussion. Thus, we conclude that concussion resolution is also predominantly a clinical determination made on the basis of a comprehensive neurologic history, neurologic examination, and cognitive assessment. There is moderate evidence that tests such as symptom checklists, neurocognitive testing, and balance testing are helpful in monitoring recovery from concussion.

**Clinical Context: RTP—Graded Physical Activity**

Limited data exist to support conclusions regarding implementation of a graded physical activity program designed to assist the athlete to recover from a concussion. Preliminary evidence suggests that a return to moderate activity is possibly associated with better performance on visual memory and reaction time tests, with a trend toward lower symptom scores as compared with scores for no-activity or high-activity groups. Preliminary evidence also exists to suggest that a program of progressive physical activity may possibly be helpful for athletes with prolonged postconcussive symptomatology. There are insufficient data to support specific recommendations for implementing a graded activity program to normalize physical, cognitive, and academic functional impairments. It is accepted that levels of activity that exacerbate underlying symptoms or cognitive impairments should be avoided.

**FOR ATHLETES WITH CONCUSSION, WHAT INTERVENTIONS ENHANCE RECOVERY, REDUCE THE RISK OF RECURRENT CONCUSSION, OR DIMINISH LATE NEUROBEHAVIORAL IMPAIRMENT?**

**Clinical Context: Cognitive Restructuring**

Patients with mTBI/concussion may underestimate their preinjury symptoms, including many symptoms that are known to occur in individuals without concussion, such as headache, inattention, memory lapses, and fatigue. After injury there is a tendency to ascribe any symptoms to a suspected mTBI/concussion. Patients with chronic postconcussional symptoms utilize more medical resources, namely, repeat physician visits and additional diagnostic tests. Cognitive restructuring is a form of brief psychological counseling that consists of education, reassurance, and reattribution of symptoms and often utilizes both verbal and written information. Whereas there are no specific studies using cognitive restructuring specifically in sports concussions, multiple studies using this intervention for mTBI have been conducted and have shown benefit in both adults and children by reducing symptoms and decreasing the proportion of individuals who ultimately develop chronic postconcussion syndrome.

**Clinical Context: Retirement from Play After Multiple Concussions—Assessment**

In amateur athletes, the relationship between multiple concussions and chronic neurobehavioral impairments is uncertain. In professional athletes, there is strong evidence for a relationship between multiple recurrent concussions and chronic neurobehavioral impairments. A subjective history of persistent neurobehavioral impairments can be measured more objectively with formal neurologic/cognitive assessments that include a neurologic examination and neuropsychological testing.

**LHCPs might refer professional athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairments for neurologic and neuropsychological assessment.**

LHCPs caring for amateur athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairments might use formal neurologic/cognitive assessment to help guide retirement-from-play decisions.
Clinical Context: Retirement from Play—Counseling

Other risk factors for persistent or chronic cognitive impairment include longer duration of contact sport participation and preexisting learning disability. In professional athletes, data also support ApoE4 genotype as a risk factor for chronic cognitive impairment. The only modifiable risk factor currently identified is exposure to future concussions or contact sports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level B</th>
<th>LHCPs should counsel athletes with a history of multiple concussions and subjective persistent neurobehavioral impairment about the risk factors for developing permanent or lasting neurobehavioral or cognitive impairments.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LHCPs caring for professional contact sport athletes who show objective evidence for chronic/persistent neurologic/cognitive deficits (such as seen on formal neuropsychological testing) should recommend retirement from the contact sport to minimize risk for and severity of chronic neurobehavioral impairments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FOR ATHLETES WITH CONCUSSION, WHAT INTERVENTIONS ENHANCE RECOVERY, REDUCE THE RISK OF RECURRENT CONCUSSION, OR DIMINISH LONG-TERM SEQUELAE?**

On the basis of the available evidence, no conclusions can be drawn regarding the effect of postconcussive activity level on the recovery from sport-related concussion or the likelihood of developing chronic postconcussion complications.
This information sheet is provided to help you recognize and understand sports concussion.

Neurologists from the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) are doctors who identify and treat diseases of the brain and nervous system. The following evidence-based information* is provided by experts who carefully reviewed all available scientific studies on evaluating and managing sports concussion in athletes. This information updates the findings of the 1997 AAN guideline on this topic.

Concussion is a serious health issue. It can affect athletes of any age, gender, or type or level of sport played. If you (or a family member) have a head injury, you should be evaluated by a licensed health care professional to make sure that you are not at risk for health problems. This person should be trained in diagnosing and managing concussions.

WHAT IS A CONCUSSION?

A concussion is a type of brain injury. It can happen when the head hits an object or a moving object strikes the head. It also can happen when the head experiences a sudden force without being hit directly. Each year, 1.6 to 3.8 million concussions result from sports/recreation injuries in the United States. Almost nine percent of all US high school sports injuries involve concussions. Most concussions result in full recovery. However, some can lead to more-severe injuries if not identified early and treated properly.

WHAT ARE THE SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS OF CONCUSSION?

When you have a head injury, it can be hard to tell if the injury caused a concussion. The source of the head injury is not always clear. In some cases, you may not be aware of having a concussion. Signs of concussion are things people can observe about someone with a concussion. These may include:

- Behavior or personality changes
- Blank stare, dazed look
- Changes to balance, coordination, reaction time
- Delayed or slowed spoken or physical responses
- Disorientation (confused about time, date, location, game)

Symptoms of concussion are things you can sense or feel are happening. These may include:

- Blurry vision/double vision
- Confusion
- Dizziness
- Feeling hazy, foggy, or groggy
- Feeling very drowsy, having sleep problems
- Headache
- Inability to focus, concentrate
- Nausea (stomach upset)
- Not feeling right
- Sensitivity to light or sound

The signs and symptoms of concussion often begin right after the injury. These may worsen over minutes or hours. Sometimes symptoms do not appear until you have exercised hard.

WHAT ARE THE RISKS OF CONCUSSION? HOW CAN I KNOW IF I AM AT RISK?

Concussions can occur in many sports. Concussions are common in high-speed contact sports. The studies examined here looked at concussion risk in several sports. Strong evidence shows:

- Football, rugby, hockey, and soccer pose the greatest risk
- Baseball, softball, volleyball, and gymnastics involve the least risk

The studies also examined concussion risk by:

- Gender
- Equipment used
- Previous concussion(s)

In terms of gender, the studies suggest that risk varies from sport to sport. Some studies compared concussion risks for males and females by sport. There is strong evidence that concussion risk in soccer and basketball is greater in females than in males. For other sports, there is not enough evidence to show any clear differences in risk by gender.
For headgear, there is moderate evidence that its use in rugby can lower concussion risk. Headgear should be well fitted, well designed, and well maintained. Use of football helmets to protect against concussion has not been studied. But given the evidence for headgear use in other sports, one can assume well-maintained football helmets also are helpful. Mouth guards often are used to prevent dental injuries. However, there is not enough evidence to show if mouth guards help prevent concussions.

In addition, there is not enough evidence to show:

- That one type of football helmet gives more protection than another
- That headgear use in soccer or basketball protects against concussion

If you have had a concussion before, be aware that:

- Strong evidence shows you are at greater risk for another concussion
- If you are within ten days of having had a concussion, there is moderate evidence of a greater risk for another one

There is not enough evidence to show if risk varies by age, level of sport played, or position played.

**WHAT SHOULD I DO IF I HAVE A HEAD INJURY DURING A GAME?**

If you think you might have a concussion, stop playing the game right away. This will help reduce your risk of worse injury.

Moderate evidence shows that checklists and screening tests can help with diagnosing concussions. If available, your coach or athletic trainer should be trained to administer these tests properly. He or she then should share your test results with your health care professional to confirm a diagnosis. Some of these tests are not intended for use in preteen children or younger.

If a concussion may have occurred, you should be evaluated thoroughly by a licensed health care professional. This person should be trained to diagnose and manage concussion. He or she also should be able to recognize brain injuries that are more severe. Concussion diagnosis must be based on a clinical exam and health history. Moderate evidence shows that tests can help to diagnose and manage concussion. However, no single test score can be the basis of a concussion diagnosis.

**I HAVE BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH A CONCUSSION. WHEN CAN I RETURN TO PLAY/PRACTICE?**

If you have been diagnosed with a concussion, do not return to play until:

- All symptoms have cleared up—these include symptoms you have while taking medication or, especially, after stopping it
- You have been cleared for play by a licensed health care professional trained in diagnosing and managing concussion

Be careful when returning to play. This should be a slow process. Weak evidence suggests a step-by-step plan of return to activity might be helpful. A licensed professional should design this to fit your needs. The plan should exclude any activities that make symptoms worse or put you at risk of another concussion. Be sure to discuss this plan with your health care professional.

There is no set timeline for recovery or return to play. There also is no evidence for absolute rest after a concussion. However, high school athletes or younger should be managed more conservatively than older athletes. Moderate evidence shows that these athletes have symptoms and thinking problems that last longer than in older athletes

For injured athletes who continue to have symptoms:

- Moderate to strong evidence shows that they will have ongoing thinking and behavior problems and slowed reaction times
- Weak evidence shows that such athletes may be risking further injury—and even longer recovery—if they try returning to play too soon

If you have concerns about long-term risk, discuss counseling options with your health care professional.

**This AAN guideline is endorsed by the National Football League Players Association, the American Football Coaches Association, the Child Neurology Society, the National Association of Emergency Medical Service Physicians, the National Association of School Psychologists, the National Athletic Trainers Association, and the Neurocritical Care Society.**

This statement is provided as an educational service of the American Academy of Neurology. It is based on an assessment of current scientific and clinical information. It is not intended to include all possible proper methods of care for a particular neurologic problem or all legitimate criteria for choosing to use a specific procedure. Neither is it intended to exclude any reasonable alternative methodologies. The AAN recognizes that specific patient care decisions are the prerogative of the patient and the physician caring for the patient, based on all of the circumstances involved.

*After the experts review all of the published research studies, they describe the strength of the evidence supporting each recommendation:

- Strong evidence = more than one high-quality scientific study
- Moderate evidence = at least one high-quality scientific study or two or more studies of a lesser quality
- Weak evidence = means the studies, while supportive, are weak in design or strength of the findings
- Not enough evidence = means either different studies have come to conflicting results or there are no studies of reasonable quality
Summary of Evidence-based Guideline for SPORTS COACHES and ATHLETIC TRAINERS

RECOGNIZING SPORTS CONCUSSION IN ATHLETES

This information sheet is provided to help you understand how to recognize concussion in injured athletes.

Neurologists from the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) are doctors who identify and treat diseases of the brain and nervous system. The following evidence-based information* is provided by experts who carefully reviewed all available scientific studies on the evaluation and management of sports concussion in athletes. This information updates the findings of the 1997 AAN guideline on this topic.

Concussion is a serious health issue for all athletes, regardless of age, gender, and type or level of sport played. Injured athletes need clinical evaluation to make sure that they are not at risk for health problems.

WHAT IS A CONCUSSION?

A concussion is a type of brain injury. It can happen when the head hits an object or a moving object strikes the head. It also can happen when the head experiences a sudden force without being hit directly. Each year, 1.6 to 3.8 million concussions result from sports injuries in the United States. Almost nine percent of all US high school sports injuries involve concussions. Most concussions result in full recovery. However, some can lead to more severe injuries.

WHAT ARE THE SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS OF CONCUSSION?

Concussion signs are things you can observe about the athlete. These include:

- Behavior or personality changes
- Blank stare, dazed look
- Changes to balance, coordination, reaction time
- Delayed or slowed spoken or physical responses
- Disorientation (confused about time, date, location, game)

Concussion symptoms are things the athlete tells you are happening. These include:

- Loss of consciousness/blackout (occurs in less than 10 percent of cases)
- Memory loss of event before, during, or after injury occurred
- Slurred/unclear speech
- Trouble controlling emotions
- Vomiting

- Blurry vision/double vision
- Confusion
- Dizziness
- Feeling hazy, foggy, or groggy
- Feeling very drowsy, having sleep problems

WHO IS AT RISK FOR CONCUSSION? HOW CAN I KNOW IF MY TEAM MEMBERS ARE AT RISK?

Concussions can occur in many sports. Concussions are common in high-speed contact sports. The studies examined here looked at concussion risk in several sports. Strong evidence shows:

- Football, rugby, hockey, and soccer pose the greatest risk
- Baseball, softball, volleyball, and gymnastics involve the lowest risk

The studies also examined concussion risk by:

- Gender
- Equipment used
- Previous concussion(s)

In terms of gender, the studies suggest that risk varies from sport to sport. Some studies compared concussion risks for males and females by sport. There is strong evidence that concussion risk in soccer and basketball is greater for females than for males. For other sports, there is not enough evidence to show any clear differences in risk by gender.

For headgear, there is moderate evidence that its use in rugby can lower concussion risk. Headgear should be well fitted, well designed, and well maintained. Use of football helmets to protect against concussion has not been studied. But given the evidence for headgear use in other sports, one can assume football helmets also are helpful. Mouth guards often are used to prevent dental injuries. However, there is not enough evidence to show if mouth guards help prevent concussions.
In addition, there is not enough evidence to show:
• That one type of football helmet gives more protection than another
• That headgear use in soccer or basketball protects against concussion

For athletes who have had a concussion before, there is strong evidence of greater risk for another one. Moderate evidence shows the risk for another concussion may be greatest within ten days after a first one.

There is not enough evidence to show if risk varies by age, level of sport played, or position played.

**WHAT SHOULD I DO IF A TEAM MEMBER HAS A HEAD INJURY DURING A GAME?**

If you suspect an athlete may have a concussion, remove the athlete from play immediately. This will reduce risk of further injury.

Moderate evidence shows that checklists and screening tests can help with diagnosing concussions. Where available, athletic trainers working with athletes should become familiar with such tests. These include:

• Balance assessment tests
• Brief mental status exams
• Symptom checklists
• The Standardized Assessment of Concussion (SAC)

Training in proper use of these tests is important to obtain accurate information. Results should be shared with the athlete’s licensed health care professional. Test results should not be the only information used to diagnose or rule out a concussion. A concussion diagnosis should be based on a clinical exam and health history. No single test score can be the basis of a concussion diagnosis. Note that some tools have not been standardized for use in preteen children or younger.

The injured athlete should be evaluated by a licensed health care professional. This person should be trained in diagnosing and managing concussion. The person also should be skilled in recognizing more severe brain injury.

**A TEAM MEMBER HAS BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH A CONCUSSION. WHEN CAN THIS ATHLETE RETURN TO PLAY/PRACTICE?**

If an athlete is diagnosed with a concussion, two things are required before he or she returns to play:

• All symptoms should have cleared up. In addition, the athlete should not rely on medication to treat lingering symptoms. These include symptoms such as headache which might be masked by medication. The athlete should be free of symptoms even after stopping medication.
• The athlete should be approved for play by a licensed health care professional trained in diagnosing and managing concussion.

The athlete should be returned to play slowly. Weak evidence suggests a step-by-step plan of return to activity might be helpful. The plan should exclude any activities that worsen symptoms or put the athlete at risk of another concussion. A licensed health care professional trained in concussion should design this to fit the athlete’s needs.

There is no set timeline for recovery or return to play. There also is no evidence for absolute rest after a concussion. However, high school athletes or younger should be managed more conservatively than older athletes. Moderate evidence shows that these athletes have symptoms and thinking problems that last longer than in older athletes. Therefore, these younger athletes take longer to safely recover than older athletes.

For injured athletes with continued symptoms, moderate to strong evidence shows ongoing thinking problems and slowed reaction times can persist. Weak evidence shows that athletes with ongoing symptoms may be risking further injury—and longer recovery time—if they try to participate in sports before symptoms have completely cleared.

All athletes might benefit from counseling on long-term health risks.
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Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of concussion were last published 15 years ago. Over the course of those years, much has changed, not only in our knowledge of this clinical syndrome, but also in the neurologist’s role in the field of sports.

In 1997, it was rare to see a neurologist on the sidelines or at ringside. In fact, the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) supported a position statement calling for boxing to be banned. That policy has been replaced by a call to arms for neurologists to become more involved in all sports as advocates for the safety of participants.

Sports neurology is now on its way to becoming a recognized subspecialty of neurology. The Sports Neurology section of the AAN now has 465 members in addition to an active online community. The first Sports Neurology fellowship has been established at the University of Michigan.

The growth of sports neurology has also increased the visibility of neurologists who now serve in key positions on the health and safety committees of the National Football League Players Association (NFLPA), National Football League (NFL), National Hockey League, National Basketball Association, United States Tennis Association, and National Collegiate Athletic Association. Neurologists now even serve on multiple state boxing commissions.

The NFLPA has taken a central role in advocating for the health and safety of its players and accelerating the creation and adoption of guidelines for the care of NFL players with concussions. In October 2009, under the direction of the Executive Director, DeMaurice Smith, the Mackey-White Traumatic Brain Injury Committee of the NFLPA held its first meeting. Chaired by then-active player Sean Morey and the NFLPA Medical Director, Dr. Thom Mayer, this group comprised more than 25 eminent scientists with expertise in neurologic injuries, including neurologists, neurosurgeons, emergency physicians, and neuropathologists. Most importantly, it also included current and former players, representing, for the first time, the voice of the “player as person and patient.” In November 2009, at the direction of Mr. Smith and following a rash of concussions during that season, the NFLPA asked the NFL to develop immediately and then implement concussion guidelines to protect the players, which were in place within 30 days. Following the season, the Mackey-White Return to Play Subcommittee developed guidelines to ensure that NFL players sustaining concussions were evaluated and cleared by independent neurologic consultants prior to returning to play. While a detailed Sideline Concussion Evaluation was implemented by the NFL in 2011, its use was not mandated until 2012. The NFLPA supports the AAN guidelines published here and will continue to advocate in every possible way to ensure its players have the best clinical care provided by neurologists with appropriate credentials, including sideline concussion experts at each game.

In this issue of Neurology®, the guideline authors report on a literature review extending back to 1955. They approach the problem of concussion in sports by attempting to answer 4 broad questions:

1. For athletes, what factors increase or decrease concussion risk?
2. For athletes suspected of having a concussion, what diagnostic tools are useful in identifying those with concussion?
3. For athletes with a concussion, what clinical factors are useful in identifying those at increased risk for severe or prolonged early postconcussion impairments, neurologic catastrophe, recurrent concussions, or late neurobehavioral impairment?
4. For athletes with a concussion, what interventions enhance recovery, reduce the risk of recurrent concussion, or diminish late neurobehavioral impairment?

While attempting to answer these questions, the authors were able to provide crucial information regarding the most vulnerable sports and positions within those sports. They also answer many questions regarding protective equipment, sex differences, and medical factors that predispose to concussion.

The information in this guideline is the culmination of years of work, but instead of being the end of a long road, it is a foundation from which to build. As Churchill notably said, “This is not the end; it is not even the
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beginning of the end. But it may, perhaps, be the end of the beginning.5” Like any public health problem, the most important element in future endeavors regarding concussion in sports will be educating athletes. It is reassuring to know that neurologists will be an essential part of that effort.
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